MINUTES ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS February 1, 2023

MEMBERS PRESENT:

DAN SULLIVAN, CHAIRMAN

PATRICIA CASTELLI,

THOMAS QUINN MICHAEL BOSCO BILLY VALENTINE

ABSENT:

ROBERT BONOMOLO, JR.

ALSO PRESENT:

Deborah Arbolino,

Administrative Aide

Denise Sullivan,

Deputy Town Attorney

Anne Marie Ambrose,

Official Stenographer

This meeting was called to order at 7: 00 P.M. by Mr. Sullivan, Chairman. Hearings on this meeting's agenda, which are made a part of this meeting, were held as noted below:

PUBLISHED ITEMS

APPLICANTS

DECISIONS

NEW ITEMS:

MEGDANIS

SIDE YARD & TOTAL SIDE

YARD VARIANCES APPROVED

ZBA#23-07

86 Yale Terrace

Blauvelt, New York

70.10 /2/1; R-15 zone

BRUZZETTA/NOLAN

69.15 / 2 / 17; R-15 zone

73 Harding Street

Blauvelt, New York

SIDE YARD & TOTAL SIDE YARD VARIANCES APPROVED

ZBA#23-08

THE DECISIONS RELATED TO THE ABOVE HEARINGS are inserted herein and made part of these minutes.

The verbatim minutes, as recorded by the Board's official stenographer for the above hearings, are not transcribed.

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN
TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

OTHER BUSINESS:

In response to requests from the Orangetown Planning Board, the Zoning Board of Appeals: RESOLVED, to approve the action of the Acting Chairperson executing on behalf of the Board its consent to the Planning Board acting as Lead Agency for the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) coordinated environmental review of actions pursuant to SEQRA Regulations § 617.6 (b)(3) the following application: One Washington Avenue Site Plan-South Nyack, Critical Environmental Area;1 Washington Avenue, South Nyack, NY 66.70/2/6; R-12 zone; and FURTHER RESOLVED, to request to be notified by the Planning Board of SEQRA proceedings.

There being no further business to come before the Board, on motion duly made, seconded and carried, the meeting was adjourned at 7:45 P.M.

Dated: February 1, 2023

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

Deborah Arbolino, Administrative Aide

DISTRIBUTION:
APPLICANT
TOWN ATTORNEY
DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
TOWN BOARD MEMBERS
BUILDING INSPECTOR (Individual Decisions)
Rockland County Planning

SIDE YARD AND TOTAL SIDE YARD VARIANCES APPROVED

To: Michael Megdanis 86 Yale Terrace

Blauvelt, New York 10913

ZBA #23-07

Date: February 1, 2023 Permit #BLDR-2244-22

FROM: ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS: Town of Orangetown

ZBA#23-07: Application of Michael and Kate Megdanis for variances from Zoning Code (Chapter 43) of the Town of Orangetown Code, R-15 District, Group M, Section 3.12, Columns 9 (Side Yard: 20' required, 15' proposed) and 10 (Total Side Yard: 50' required, 35.8' proposed) for an addition to an existing single-family residence. The premises are located at 86 Yale Terrace, Blauvelt, New York and identified on the Orangetown Tax Map as Section 70.10, Block 2, Lot 1 in the R-15 zoning district.

Heard by the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Orangetown at a Hearing held on Wednesday, February 1, 2023 at which time the Board made the determination hereinafter set forth.

Michael and Kate Megdanis appeared and testified.

The following documents were presented:

- 1. Plot plan labeled "Proposed Addition for Megdanis" dated 11/21/2022 prepared by Michael Megdanis.
- 2. Architectural plans dated August 15, 2022 signed and sealed by Harold J. Goldstein, Architect. (2 pages)
- 3. A letter dated January 26, 2023 from Rockland County Department of Planning signed by Douglas J. Schuetz, Acting Commissioner of Planning.
- 4. A letter dated January 31, 2023 from Rockland County Health Department signed by Elizabeth Mello, P.E.
- 5. A letter dated January 20, 2023 from Rockland County Sewer District No.1 signed by Joseph LaFiandra, Engineer II.
- 6. A sign-off dated January 6, 2023 from Rockland County Highway Department signed by Dyan Rajasingham.
- 7. Four letters in support of the application by neighbors closest to the project.
- 8. ZBA Decisions # 19-60 for 46 Yale Terrace, #06-67 for 59 Yale Terrace, #06-09 for 6 Sterling Place, #03-06 for 78 Yale Terrace, and #03-85 for 14 Sterling Place.

Mr. Sullivan, Chairman, made a motion to open the Public Hearing which motion was seconded by Mr. Quinn and carried unanimously.

On advice of Denise Sullivan, Deputy Town Attorney, counsel to the Zoning Board of Appeals, Mr. Sullivan moved for a Board determination that the foregoing application is a Type II action exempt from the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), pursuant to SEQRA Regulations §617.5 (c) (11), (12), (16) and/or (17); which does not require SEQRA environmental review. The motion was seconded by Ms. Castelli and carried as follows: Mr. Bosco, aye; Mr. Valentine, aye; Mr. Quinn, aye; Ms. Castelli, aye; and Mr. Sullivan, aye. Mr. Bonomolo was absent.

Michael Megdanis testified that they would like to add a two-car garage to the existing house; that they would require a side yard and total side yard variance in order to construct the garage where the existing driveway is located; that they would like to submit other variances that are similar that were granted in the neighborhood to show that what they are requesting is in keeping with the character of the neighborhood; that it would not be a detriment of nearby properties; that

Permit #BLDR-2244-22

the granting of the variances would not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood and that they would not be adding any more impervious surface because the garage would be constructed where the existing macadam's located.

Kate Megdanis testified that the house is a high ranch that the previous owners converted the garage to a playroom; that they have no basement and no storage; that the proposed two-car garage will give them some storage space; that she spoke to the four closest neighbors that signed letters and they have no objections; and that the house is located at the entrance to the culde-sac and the long narrow driveway.

Public Comment:

No public comment.

The Board members made personal inspections of the premises the week before the meeting and found them to be properly posted and as generally described on the application.

A satisfactory statement in accordance with the provisions of Section 809 of the General Municipal Law of New York was received.

Mr. Sullivan made a motion to close the Public Hearing which motion was seconded by Ms. Castelli and carried unanimously.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

After personal observation of the property, hearing all the testimony and reviewing all the documents submitted, the Board found and concluded that the benefits to the applicant if the variance(s) are granted outweigh the detriment (if any) to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant, for the following reasons:

- 1. The requested side yard and total side yard variances will not produce an undesirable change in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties. Similar additions have been constructed in the neighborhood.
- 2. The requested side yard and total side yard variances will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district. Similar additions have been constructed in the neighborhood.
- 3. The benefits sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by other means feasible for the applicant to pursue other than by obtaining variances.
- 4. The requested side yard and total side yard variances although substantial, and affords benefits to the applicant that are not outweighed by the detriment, if any, to the health, safety and welfare of the surrounding neighborhood or nearby community. Similar additions have been constructed in the neighborhood.
- 5. The applicant purchased the property subject to Orangetown's Zoning Code (Châpter 43) and is proposing a new addition and/or improvements, so the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration was relevant to the decision of the Board of Appears, But the not, by itself, preclude the granting of the area variance.

DECISION: In view of the foregoing and the testimony and documents presented, the Board RESOLVED that the application for the requested side yard and total side yard variances are APPROVED; and FURTHER RESOLVED, that such decision and the vote thereon shall become effective and be deemed rendered on the date of adoption by the Board of the minutes of which they are a part.

General Conditions:

- (i) The approval of any variance or Special Permit is granted by the Board in accordance with and subject to those facts shown on the plans submitted and, if applicable, as amended at or prior to this hearing, as hereinabove recited or set forth.
- (ii) Any approval of a variance or Special Permit by the Board is limited to the specific variance or Special Permit requested but only to the extent such approval is granted herein and subject to those conditions, if any, upon which such approval was conditioned which are hereinbefore set forth.
- (iii) The Board gives no approval of any building plans, including, without limitation, the accuracy and structural integrity thereof, of the applicant, but same have been submitted to the Board solely for informational and verification purposes relative to any variances being requested.
- (iv) A building permit as well as any other necessary permits must be obtained within a reasonable period of time following the filing of this decision and prior to undertaking any construction contemplated in this decision. To the extent any variance or Special Permit granted herein is subject to any conditions, the building department shall not be obligated to issue any necessary permits where any such condition imposed should, in the sole judgment of the building department, be first complied with as contemplated hereunder. Occupancy will not be made until, and unless, a Certificate of Occupancy is issued by the Office of Building, Zoning and Planning Administration and Enforcement which legally permits such occupancy.
- (v) Any foregoing variance or Special Permit will lapse if any contemplated construction of the project or any use for which the variance or Special Permit is granted is not substantially implemented within one year of the date of filing of this decision or that of any other board of the Town of Orangetown granting any required final approval to such project, whichever is later, but in any event within two years of the filing of this decision. Merely obtaining a Building Permit with respect to construction or a Certificate of Occupancy with respect to use does not constitute "substantial implementation" for the purposes hereof.

TO WAY OF ORANGETOWN
TO SI CH F- 854 ESS
TOWN OLERW'S OFFICE

Megdanis ZBA#23-07 Page 4 of 4

Permit #BLDR-2244-22

The foregoing resolution to approve the application for the requested side yard and total side yard variances are APPROVED; was presented and moved by Mr. Sullivan, seconded by Mr. Quinn, and carried as follows: Mr. Bosco, aye; Mr. Valentine, aye; Mr. Sullivan, aye; Ms. Castelli, aye; and Mr. Quinn, aye. Mr. Bonomolo was absent.

The Administrative Aide to the Board is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to sign this decision and file a certified copy thereof in the office of the Town Clerk.

DATED: February 1, 2023

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

Deborah Arbolino Administrative Aide

DISTRIBUTION:

APPLICANT
ZBA MEMBERS
SUPER VISOR
TOWN BOARD MEMBERS
TOWN ATTORNEY
DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
OBZPAE
BUILDING INSPECTOR-Ken L.

TOWN CLERK
HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT
ASSESSOR
DEPT. of ENVIRONMENTAL
MGMT. and ENGINEERING
FILE,ZBA, PB
CHAIRMAN, ZBA, PB, ACABOR

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN
TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

SIDE YARD AND TOTAL SIDE YARD VARIANCES APPROVED

To: Ann Nolan (Buzzetta/Nolan)
73 Harding Street
Blauvelt, New York 10913

ZBA #23-08
Date: February 1, 2023
Permit #BLDR-2466-22

FROM: ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS: Town of Orangetown

ZBA#23-08: Application of Daniel Bruzzetta and Ann Nolan for variances from Zoning Code (Chapter 43) of the Town of Orangetown Code, R-15 District, Group M, Section 3.12, Columns 9 (Side Yard: 20' required, 13.1' proposed) and 10 (Total Side Yard: 50' required, 47.9' proposed) for an addition to an existing single-family residence., The premises are located at 73 Harding Street, Blauvelt, New York and identified on the Orangetown Tax Map as Section 79.15, Block 2, Lot 17 in the R-15 zoning district.

Heard by the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Orangetown at a Hearing held on Wednesday, February 1, 2023 at which time the Board made the determination hereinafter set forth.

Ann Nolan and Daniel Buzzetta appeared and testified.

The following documents were presented:

1. Architectural plans dated July 6, 2022 signed and sealed by Harry J. Goldstein, Architect (3 pages).

Mr. Sullivan, Chairman, made a motion to open the Public Hearing which motion was seconded by Ms. Castelli and carried unanimously.

On advice of Denise Sullivan, Deputy Town Attorney, counsel to the Zoning Board of Appeals, Mr. Sullivan moved for a Board determination that the foregoing application is a Type II action exempt from the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), pursuant to SEQRA Regulations §617.5 (c) (11), (12), (16) and/or (17); which does not require SEQRA environmental review. The motion was seconded by Ms. Castelli and carried as follows: Mr. Bosco, aye; Mr. Sullivan, aye; Mr. Valentine, aye; Ms. Castelli, aye; and Mr. Quinn, aye. Mr. Bonomolo was absent.

Ann Nolan testified that her husband is a funeral director in the city; that he has a hearse that was being parked in a garage, that the hearse has been broken in to and damaged several times; that they would like to add the garage specifically to house the hearse; that the side of the house that they are proposing to add the garage is the one that is already paved and it would be much more expensive to add the garage to the other side of the property because of the topography of the lot.

Public Comment:

No public comment.

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

Permit #BLDR-2466-22

The Board members made personal inspections of the premises the week before the meeting and found them to be properly posted and as generally described on the application.

A satisfactory statement in accordance with the provisions of Section 809 of the General Municipal Law of New York was received.

Mr. Sullivan made a motion to close the Public Hearing which motion was seconded by Ms. Castelli and carried unanimously.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

After personal observation of the property, hearing all the testimony and reviewing all the documents submitted, the Board found and concluded that the benefits to the applicant if the variance(s) are granted outweigh the detriment (if any) to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant, for the following reasons:

- 1. The requested side yard and total side yard variances will not produce an undesirable change in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties. Similar additions have been constructed in the neighborhood. The topography of the property is difficult, and this would be the most cost-effective area to add the proposed garage.
- 2. The requested side yard and total side yard variances will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district. Similar additions have been constructed in the neighborhood. The topography of the property is difficult, and this would be the most cost -effective area to add the proposed garage.
- 3. The benefits sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by other means feasible for the applicant to pursue other than by obtaining variances.
- 4. The requested side yard and total side yard variances although substantial, and affords benefits to the applicant that are not outweighed by the detriment, if any, to the health, safety and welfare of the surrounding neighborhood or nearby community. Similar additions have been constructed in the neighborhood. The topography of the property is difficult, and this would be the most cost-effective area to add the proposed garage.
- 5. The applicant purchased the property subject to Orangetown's Zoning Code (Chapter 43) and is proposing a new addition and/or improvements, so the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration was relevant to the decision of the Board of Appeals, but did not, by itself, preclude the granting of the area variance.

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

2023 FE8 - T P IS: OT

TOWN CLERK'S OFFICE

Buzzetta/Nolan ZBA#23-08 Page 3 of 4

Permit#BLDR-2466-22

DECISION: In view of the foregoing and the testimony and documents presented, the Board RESOLVED that the application for the requested side yard and total side yard variances are APPROVED; and FURTHER RESOLVED, that such decision and the vote thereon shall become effective and be deemed rendered on the date of adoption by the Board of the minutes of which they are a part.

General Conditions:

- (i) The approval of any variance or Special Permit is granted by the Board in accordance with and subject to those facts shown on the plans submitted and, if applicable, as amended at or prior to this hearing, as hereinabove recited or set forth.
- (ii) Any approval of a variance or Special Permit by the Board is limited to the specific variance or Special Permit requested but only to the extent such approval is granted herein and subject to those conditions, if any, upon which such approval was conditioned which are hereinbefore set forth.
- (iii) The Board gives no approval of any building plans, including, without limitation, the accuracy and structural integrity thereof, of the applicant, but same have been submitted to the Board solely for informational and verification purposes relative to any variances being requested.
- (iv) A building permit as well as any other necessary permits must be obtained within a reasonable period of time following the filing of this decision and prior to undertaking any construction contemplated in this decision. To the extent any variance or Special Permit granted herein is subject to any conditions, the building department shall not be obligated to issue any necessary permits where any such condition imposed should, in the sole judgment of the building department, be first complied with as contemplated hereunder. Occupancy will not be made until, and unless, a Certificate of Occupancy is issued by the Office of Building, Zoning and Planning Administration and Enforcement which legally permits such occupancy.
- (v) Any foregoing variance or Special Permit will lapse if any contemplated construction of the project or any use for which the variance or Special Permit is granted is not substantially implemented within one year of the date of filing of this decision or that of any other board of the Town of Orangetown granting any required final approval to such project, whichever is later, but in any event within two years of the filing of this decision. Merely obtaining a Building Permit with respect to construction or a Certificate of Occupancy with respect to use does not constitute "substantial implementation" for the purposes hereof.

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN TOWN CLERK'S OFFICE TOWN CLERK'S OFFICE

Buzzetta/Nolan ZBA#23-08 Page 4 of 4

Permit #BLDR-2466-22

The foregoing resolution to approve the application for the requested side yard and total side yard variances are APPROVED; was presented and moved by Mr. Valentine, seconded by Ms. Castelli and carried as follows: Mr. Bosco, aye; Mr. .Quinn, aye; Mr. Sullivan, aye; Ms. Castelli, aye; and Mr. Valentine, aye. Mr. Bonomolo was absent.

The Administrative Aide to the Board is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to sign this decision and file a certified copy thereof in the office of the Town Clerk.

DATED: February 1, 2023

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

Deborah Arbolino Administrative Aide

DISTRIBUTION:

APPLICANT
ZBA MEMBERS
SUPERVISOR
TOWN BOARD MEMBERS
TOWN ATTORNEY
DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
OBZPAE
BUILDING INSPECTOR-Ken L.

TOWN CLERK
HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT
ASSESSOR
DEPT. of ENVIRONMENTAL
MGMT. and ENGINEERING
FILE,ZBA, PB
CHAIRMAN, ZBA, PB, ACABOR