ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS	
Town of Orangetown	
20 Greenbush Road	
Orangeburg, New York 10962	
(914) 359-8410 (ex. 4331)	
Date: August 18, 2022	
TO: OBAPAE	
Environmental Management and Engineering	Rockland County Drainage
Rockland County Sewer District #1	Rockland County Health
New York State Dept. of Transportation	Rockland County Planning
Palisades Interstate Park Commission	Rockland County Highway
Orange and Rockland Utilities	$\langle$
Orangetown Highway	DOT
Fire Prevention (Performance Standards)	
Review of Plans: South Corner Plaza Site Plan, 2 Route	e 340, Orangeburg, NY
Section 74.11 Block 2 Lot 47 CC zone	

This matter is scheduled for:

Chapter 43, CC District, Section 3.11, Group JJ, Columns 11 (Rear Yard: 25' permitted 14' proposed for Dumpster Enclosure), and 12 (Building Height: 22' permitted, 29'5" proposed) and from Section from Section 6.4 (minimum loading berths required is 1 and 0 are proposed) and from Section 13.10 Route 303 Overlay Non-Residential areas: B (1) (Shall be adequately screened to minimize visual impact to passerby on Route 303); B (2) Twenty-five foot vegetative buffer granted in ZBA#15-66 dated July 15, 2015) and B (10): (No more than 35% [18 spots]of all parking shall be located within the front yard of any lot or parcel: 22 spots proposed in the front yard which results in 42%) and from this proposal is for a smaller building. Please review the information enclosed and provide comments. These comments may be mailed, e-mailed or faxed to the Zoning Board Office.

If your Agency does not have any comments at this time, please respond to this office by sending back this sheet.

- US Postal: 20 South Greenbush Road, Orangeburg, NY 10962
- Email to Zoning Board: darbolino@orangetown.com or •
- Fax to the Town of Orangetown ZBA @845 359 8526 •

#### Zoning Board Meeting Date: September 21, 2022

( ) Comments attached

) No Comments at this time. Please send future correspondence for review.

) No future correspondence for this site should be sent to this agency. Plans reviewed and this agency does not have any further comments.

() This project is out of the jurisdiction of this agency and has no further comments.

#### This project is before the Zoning Board on <u>Wednesday, September 21, 2022</u>. Kindly forward your completed review to this office by September 21, 2022.

Reviewing Agency\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_date:\_\_\_\_\_ Name

Signature:

Thank you, Deborah Arbolino

RICH IN HISTORY	ADMINISTRATION ADMINISTRATION TOWN OF 0 20 Gree Orangeb	NG, ZONING, PLA N AND ENFORCE ORANGETOW eenbush Road urg, N.Y. 10962	anning, ement /N	
Slavin, R.A. for	(845	;)359-8410		Fax: (845) 359-85
	ZONING BOA	RD OF APPE	ALS	
Date: August 9, 2	2022			
Applicant: South	Corner Plaza			A NY
Address: 2 Route	340, Orangeburg, NY		1	
	Section: 74.11	Block: 2	Lot: 47	<u></u>
	Permit#	<i>N</i>		
Diona Submitta de	S Corner Plaza dated August	5. 2021 last revised 7/		
Atzl & Nasher				1
			8	
			PE	ACTIVICIES
Sou	th Corner Plaza		AL	6 - 9 2022
rioject Name:			- T/314/61 -	a 9 2022
			BUILDIN	F ORANGETOWN
Date of Submittal	to Land Use Board:			- CLENCIMENT
	. TBD		-	
Date of Board Mee	sting:			
Date of Dotate Met				1
2 and of Bound Me				
Sinceret				i i i
Sincerely,	)			
	Del.			
Sincerety,	Ma			

Administrative Aide

Date/Initial of OBZPAE Review Completed:\_

. .

; .

÷

Please check all	that apply:	
	Residential	
V Planning Board	Historical Board	т.,
Zoning Board of Appeals	Architectural Board	
Subdivision	Consultation	
Number of Lots	Pre-Preliminary/Sketch	1
VSite Plan	Preliminary	
Conditional Use	Final	
—		
Special Permit		
<b>V</b> ariance	PERMIT#:	
Performance Standards Review	ASSIGNED	
Use Variance	INSPECTOR:	
Other (specify):		
	Referred from Planning Board: YES / NC	
	If yes provide date of Planning Board meeting:	
		`
Project Name: <u>S Corner Plaza</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Street Address: 2 Route 340		
Orangeburg, NY 10962		
Tax Map Designation:	<b>N</b>	
Section: <u>74.11</u> Block: <u>2</u>	Lot(s): <u>4/</u>	
Section: Block: _	Lot(s):	· · · ·
Directional Location:		
On the east side of Route	202	
		kimately
0 feet of the intersecti	on of, appro.	kimately , in the
0 feet of the intersecti Fown of Orangetown in the hamlet/ <del>village</del> of (	on of <u>Route 340</u> Drangeburg	kimately , in the
0 feet of the intersecti Fown of Orangetown in the hamlet/ <del>village</del> of	on of <u>Route 340</u>	kimately , in the 
0 feet of the intersection for the intersection of <u>Orangetown</u> in the hamlet/ <del>village</del> of <u>Careage of Parcel 1.157 ACS</u>	on of <u>Route 340</u> <u>Crangeburg</u> <u>Zoning District CC</u>	kimately , in the
0 feet of the intersection of of the intersection of the intersection of the intersection of	on of <u>Route 340</u> <u>Drangeburg</u> <u>Zoning District CC</u> <u>Postal District Orangeburg</u>	kimately , in the
0 feet of the intersection of of the intersection of of the intersection of of the intersection of	on of <u>Route 340</u> <u>Drangeburg</u> Zoning District <u>CC</u> Postal District <u>Orangeburg</u> Fire District <u>Orangeburg</u>	kimately , in the
0 feet of the intersection of	on of <u>Route 340</u> <u>Drangeburg</u> Zoning District <u>CC</u> Postal District <u>Orangeburg</u> Fire District <u>Orangeburg</u> Sewer District <u>Orangetown</u>	kimately in the
0 feet of the intersecti Town of <u>Orangetown</u> in the hamlet/ <del>village</del> of <u>(</u> Acreage of Parcel_1.157 ACS School District_South Orangetown Ambulance District_South Orangetown Water District_Suez	on of <u>Route 340</u> <u>Crangeburg</u> Zoning District <u>CC</u> Postal District <u>Orangeburg</u> Fire District <u>Orangeburg</u> Sewer District <u>Orangetown</u>	kimately , in the
0 feet of the intersecti Town of <u>Orangetown</u> in the hamlet/ <del>village</del> of <u>(</u> Acreage of Parcel_1.157 ACS School District_South Orangetown Ambulance District_South Orangetown Water District_Suez	on of <u>Route 340</u> <u>Drangeburg</u> Zoning District <u>CC</u> Postal District <u>Orangeburg</u> Fire District <u>Orangeburg</u> Sewer District <u>Orangetown</u>	kimately in the
0 feet of the intersection of of the intersection of of the intersection of of the intersection of _	on of <u>Route 340</u> <u>Drangeburg</u> <u>Zoning District CC</u> <u>Postal District Orangeburg</u> <u>Fire District Orangeburg</u> <u>Sewer District Orangetown</u>	ximately in the
0       feet	303       , approximately approx	ximately in the
0       feet	303       , approximate         on of	ximately in the 
0       feet	on of <u>Route 340</u> <u>Zoning District CC</u> <u>Postal District Orangeburg</u> <u>Fire District Orangeburg</u> <u>Sewer District Orangetown</u> <u>Sewer District Orangetown</u> <u>Sewer District Orangetown</u> <u>Sewer District Orangetown</u> <u>Sewer District Orangetown</u> <u>Sewer District Orangetown</u>	ximately , in the 
0       feet	approvious	kimately in the 
0       feet	303       , approximate         on of	ximately in the 
0       feet	303       , approximately approx	kimately in the  sq: ft.
0       feet	303	kimately in the  sq: ft.
0       feet	303      , approximately	kimately in the  sq. ft.
0       feet	303	ximately , in the  sq: ft.
0       feet	303      , approving the approving a proving the approximate struct of the approximate struct struct of the approximate struct of the approximate struct struct of the approximate struct struct of the approximate struct st	ximately in the 
0       feet	303	ximately in the  sq: ft.
0       feet	303	ximately in the  sq: ft.
0       feet	303	ximately , in the  sq: ft.
0       feet of the intersection         iown of Orangetown in the hamlet/ <del>village</del> of         Acreage of Parcel 1.157 ACS         School District South Orangetown         Ambulance District South Orangetown         Water District Suez         Project Description: (If additional space required, project Description: (If additional space required, project Description: (If additional spaces. See attached the plan approval for the demolition all existing structure thopping center with 53 parking spaces. See attached the undersigned agrees to an extension of the statutor time ate:	303	ximately in the  sq: ft.

#### **APPLICATION REVIEW FORM**

#### FILL IN WHERE APPLICABLE.

#### (IF THE FOLLOWING DOES NOT APPLY PLEASE MOVE ON TO THE NEXT PAGE)

#### If subdivision: NA

- 1) Is any variance from the subdivision regulations required?\_\_\_\_\_
- 2) Is any open space being offered? \_\_\_\_ If so, what amount? \_\_\_\_\_
- 3) Is this a standard or average density subdivision?\_\_\_\_\_

#### If site plan:

- 1) Existing square footage <u>3,188 sq. ft.(TO BE REMOVED)</u>
- 2) Total square footage 10,165 sq. ft.
- 3) Number of dwelling units 0

If **special permit**, list special permit use and what the property will be used for.

NA

#### **Environmental Constraints:**

Are there **slopes greater than 25**%? If yes, please indicate the amount and show the gross and net area <u>385 sq. ft.</u> Are there **streams** on the site? If yes, please provide the names. <u>Sparkill Creek</u>

Are there **wetlands** on the site? If yes, please provide the names and type: Wetlands are shown on site plan

#### **Project History**:

Has this project ever been reviewed before? Yes

If so, provide a narrative, including the list case number, name, date, and the board(s) you appeared before, and the status of any previous approvals.

Refer to note on site plan regarding past ZBA case numbers (ZBA #17-03 & ZBA #15-66)

List tax map section, block & lot numbers for all other abutting properties in the same ownership as this project.

None



#### ATZL, NASHER & ZIGLER P.C.

ENGINEERS - SURVEYORS - PLANNERS

Web: www.anzny.com

July 18, 2022

#### South Corner Plaza

Narrative Summary

The project site is identified on the Town of Orangetown Tax Map as Section 74.11 Block 2 Section 47. It is zoned CC Retail-Commerce Zone District and is located in the Route 303 Zone Overlay. The site address is 2 Route 340 in Orangeburg, New York. The existing site has a gross lot area of approximately 50,381 square feet. Currently, there are three structures along with a driveway on the site.

The Applicant is seeking site plan approval for the demolition all existing structures, and construction of a 10,165 sq. ft. shopping center with 53 parking spaces. The site is proposed to have two access ways from both Route 340 and Route 303 via a proposed bridge, which meets the fire code.

Variances from the Town of Orangetown Zoning Board of Appeals for maximum building height (required 22 ft., provided 29 ft.) and loading berth (required 1, provided 0). Variance is also required from the Route 303 Overlay Zone landscaping requirement. The requested variances are minimal in nature and there are no anticipated impacts on the surrounding community.

On July 13, 2022, the Town of Orangetown Planning Board granted preliminary approval to the proposed site plan. The application was referred to the Zoning Board of Appeals and Architecture & Community Appearance Board of Review for review.

We wish to thank you for your time and consideration.

#### 2858 Narr 7-18-22\_ZBA and ACABOR

232 North Main Street • New City, NY 10956 • info@anzny.com • Tel: (845) 634-4694 • Fax: (845) 634-5543

4	AND	200
fe lot	2	1. F.
a.t	ORANGETO	WN )
Set.	$\langle \rangle$	J.ª
×.	KA HI	Ser Contraction

#### OFFICE OF BUILDING, ZONING, PLANNING ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT TOWN OF ORANGETOWN 20 GREENBUSH ROAD ORANGEBURG, N.Y. 10962

Jane Slavin, RA Director

#### (845) 359-8410

Fax: (845) 359-8526

PB #17-37

Date: July 5, 2022

- To: Planning Board
- From: Jane Slavin, RA., Director O.B.Z.P.A.E.

Subject: South Corner Plaza Site Plan Final Site Plan, Reapproval of Prepreliminary Preliminary/Final Site Plan & SEQRA 2 Route 340, Orangeburg 74.11/2/47, CC zoning district

#### Submission Reviewed:

Revised Site Plan Package for S Corner Plaza as prepared by Atzl, Nasher & Zigler, PE, last revised 4-13-22.

- 1) The following approvals were granted;
  - PB#09-20 9/26/2011 Prepreliminary for a proposed site development plan and 12,089 square foot building.
  - ZBA#16-66 July 15, 2015 variances granted for same plan for Parking in the Rear yard, number of parking spaces, screening and required buffer per 12.10B(1) and B(2).
  - ACABOR #16-61 October 20, 2016 Site/Structure Plans
  - ZBA#17-03 January 4, 2017 Building Height variance.
- 2) The current plan is for a smaller building, 10,165 square feet.
- 3) The drawings indicate that 18 parking spots are proposed in the front yard. This is incorrect as 22 spots are indicated in the front yard which results in 41.5% of the parking in the front yard, with 35% permitted. Calculations must be revised to indicate the correct number and a variance obtained.
- 4) The dumpster location is indicated in the rear yard (south side of proposed lot). Variances required per Chapter 43, Section 5.224.

Page 1 of 2

- 5) The ZBA required a loading dock per decision #16-66, however no loading dock is proposed on this plan. Variance is required per Chapter 43, Section 6.41 (c).
- 6) The proposed building height is 29 feet with 22 feet allowed. Variance required.
- 7) Plans, elevations and details must be submitted for the proposed bridge over the Sparkill Creek and approval must be obtained from all required agencies.
- 8) Site distance calculations shall be provided and utilize the current speed limit. These site distance calculations shall be reviewed and approved by the NYSDOT.
- A Floodplain development permit must be submitted along with a letter from the engineer of record certifying that all construction and site development is in compliance with The Town of Orangetown floodplain regulations and FEMA regulations.
- 10) No signage is shown. All proposed signage shall be shown, or a separate application will be required for any future signage along with the required board approvals.
- 11) ACABOR review and approval is required.
- 12) The LEAF must be revised as follows:

Part B (a) and (f) should be checked NO Part D.2 (e) should be checked NO Part D.2 (I) Hours of Operation per Town Code for Saturday is 8AM to 7PM and on Sunday 9AM to 7PM

JS 7-5-2022

Page 2 of 2

#### DECISION

#### REAR YARD, SECTION 5.224 OFF-STREET PARKING; SECTION 3.11, CC DISTRICT, COLUMN 6 #9 PARKING: 61 SPACES REQUIRED, 59 SPACES PROVIDED; AND SECTION 13.10 B(1) SCREENING & B (2) 25' BUFFER VARIANCES APPROVED

To: Donald Brenner (South Corner Plaza) 4 Independence Avenue Tappan, New York 10983 ZBA #15-66 Date: July 15, 2015

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

TOWN CLERK

FROM: ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS: Town of Orangetown

ZBA#15- 66: Application of South Corner Plaza Site Plan for variances from Zoning Code (Chapter 43) of the Town of Orangetown Code, CC District, Group JJ, Section 3.12 Column 11 (Rear Yard: 25' required, 14.8' existing); Section 5.224 (No off-street parking shall be located within any other required yard as regulated by § 6.1); Section 6.41, paragraph c: (one outdoor loading berth required, modified to **one** proposed): **Section 3.11, CC District, Column 6 #9(Parking Spaces: 61 spaces required, 59 spaces provided)**; and from Section 13.10 Route 303 Overlay Non-residential areas: B (1) (shall be adequately screened to minimize visual impact to passersby on Route 303) B(2) Twenty-five foot vegetative buffer); for a site plan for a proposed shopping plaza. The premises are located at 2 Route 340, Orangeburg, New York and are identified on the Orangetown Tax Map as Section 74.11, Block 2, Lot 47; in the CC zoning district.

Heard by the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Orangetown at a meeting held on Wednesday, July 15, 2015 at which time the Board made the determination hereinafter set forth.

Donald Brenner, Attorney, Diane Samini, Owner, and Siavash Hoomehr, P.E., appeared and testified.

The following documents were presented:

- 1. Plans labeled "Site Development Plans prepared for S Corner Plaza" dated 03/10/2008 with the latest revision date of 06/10/2011 signed and sealed by Leonard Jackson, P.E. (15 pages).
- Three memorandums from John Giardiello, P.E., Director, Office of Building, Zoning and Planning Administration and Enforcement, Town of Orangetown, dated April 6, 2009, February 24, 2010, and September 26, 2011.
   Physical Device the Provide September 26, 2011.
- 3. Planning Board Decision #09-20 dated September 26, 2011.
- 4. A letter dated July 13, 2015 from the County of Rockland Department of Planning signed by Douglas J. Schuetz, Acting Commissioner of Planning.
- 5. A letter dated July 8, 2015 from the County of Rockland Department of Highways signed by Sonny Lin, P.E..
- 6. A letter dated June 26, 2015 from the County of Rockland Sewer District No.1 signed by Joseph La Fiandra, Engineer II.
- 7. A letter dated July 15, 2015 from the Department of Transportation signed by Joseph Taylor, MRP.

Mr. Sullivan, Chairman, made a motion to open the Public Hearing which motion was seconded by Mr. Bosco and carried unanimously.

On advice of Dennis Michaels, Deputy Town Attorney, counsel to the Zoning Board of Appeals, Mr. Sullivan moved for a Board determination that since the Planning board noticed its intent to declare itself Lead Agency and distributed that notice of intention to all Involved Agencies, including the ZBA who consented or did not object to the Planning Board acting as Lead Agency for this application, pursuant to coordinated review under the State Environmental Quality Review Act Regulations §617.6 (b) (3); and since the Planning Board conducted a SEQRA review and on September 26, 2011, rendered an environmental determination of **no** significant adverse environmental impacts to result from the proposed land use action (i.e., a "Negative Declaration" or

South Corner Plaza ZBA#15-66 Page 2 of 4

"Neg. Dec"), the ZBA is bound by the Planning Board's Neg Dec and the ZBA cannot require further SEQRA review pursuant to SEQRA Regulation § 617,6 (b)(3). The motion was seconded by Ms. Salomon and carried as follows: Mr. Bosco, aye; Mr. Feroldi, aye; Mr. Quinn, aye; Ms. Salomon, aye; and Mr. Sullivan, aye. Ms. Castelli was absent.

Donald Brenner testified that his client purchased the property a few years ago; that it has been is disrepair for many years; that his clients are planning to clean it up and proceed with a small retail se4rvice area; that the project is taking a long time to move forward because of the sensitivity of the Sparkill Creek; that they have received preliminary approval and a neg dec from the Planning board and would like to move forward with the project; that they have been in business in Nanuet for 22 years and would like to own the property that they work from; that they would remove two parking spaces to accommodate a loading dock if the Board would prefer to grant a variance for less than the required parking and not the loading dock variance.

Diane Samini testified that she and her husband own Deans Deli in Nanuet for the last 22 years; that they are located by Costco; that they have a deli, bakery and catering business; that her husband takes care of the shopping center that they are presently located in and they purchased this property with the hope of owning their own shopping center and that 2015 JUL 30 the property is like the movie "The Money Pit". TOWN CLERKS

#### Public Comment:

No public comment.

The Board members made personal inspections of the premises the week before the meeting and found them to be properly posted and as generally described on the application.

A satisfactory statement in accordance with the provisions of Section 809 of the General Municipal Law of New York was received.

Mr. Sullivan made a motion to close the Public Hearing which motion was seconded by Mr. Quinn and carried unanimously.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

After personal observation of the property, hearing all the testimony and reviewing all the documents submitted, the Board found and concluded that the benefits to the applicant if the variance(s) are granted outweigh the detriment (if any) to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant, for the following reasons:

- 1. The requested rear yard; Section 5.224-off-street parking; Section 3.11, CC District, Column 6, #9 (Parking: 61 spaces required, 59 spaces proposed); and Section 13.10 B(1)-screening and B(2) -25' buffer, variances will not produce an undesirable change in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties. The applicant has agreed to provide a loading berth at the request of the Zoning Board and the Board has agreed to grant a variance for less than the required parking spaces.
- 2. The requested rear yard; Section 5.224-off-street parking; Section 3.11, CC District, Column 6, #9 (Parking: 61 spaces required, 59 spaces proposed); and Section 13.10 B(1)-screening and B(2) -25' buffer, variances will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district. The applicant has agreed to provide a loading berth at the request of the Zoning Board and the Board has agreed to grant a variance for less than the required parking spaces.

OWN OF ORANGETOWN

South Corner Plaza ZBA#15-66 Page 3 of 4

- 3. The benefits sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by other means feasible for the applicant to pursue other than by obtaining variances.
- 4. The requested rear yard; Section 5.224-off-street parking; Section 3.11, CC District, Column 6, #9 (Parking: 61 spaces required, 59 spaces proposed); and Section 13.10 B(1)-screening and B(2) -25' buffer, variances, although somewhat substantial, afford benefits to the applicant that are not outweighed by the detriment, if any, to the health, safety and welfare of the surrounding neighborhood or nearby community. The applicant has agreed to provide a loading berth at the request of the Zoning Board and the Board has agreed to grant a variance for less than the required parking spaces.
- 5. The applicant purchased the property subject to Orangetown's Zoning Code (Chapter 43) and is proposing a new addition and/or improvements, so the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration was relevant to the decision of the Board of Appeals, but did not, by itself, preclude the granting of the area variances.

DECISION: In view of the foregoing and the testimony and documents presented, the Board: RESOLVED, that the application for the requested rear yard; Section 5.224-off-street parking; Section 3.11, CC District, Column 6, #9 (Parking: 61 spaces required, 59 spaces proposed); and Section 13.10 B(1)-screening and B(2) -25' buffer, variances are APPROVED; and FURTHER RESOLVED, that such decision and the vote thereon shall become effective and be deemed rendered on the date of adoption by the Board of the minutes of which they are a part.

#### General Conditions:

(i) The approval of any variance or Special Permit is granted by the Board in accordance with and subject to those facts shown on the plans submitted and, if applicable, as amended at or prior to this hearing, as hereinabove recited or set forth.

(ii) Any approval of a variance or Special Permit by the Board is limited to the specific variance or Special Permit requested but only to the extent such approval is granted herein and subject to those conditions, if any, upon which such approval was conditioned which are hereinbefore set forth.

(iii) The Board gives no approval of any building plans, including, without limitation, the accuracy and structural integrity thereof, of the applicant, but same have been submitted to the Board solely for informational and verification purposes relative to any variances being requested.

TOWN CLERKS OFFICE 2015 JUL 30 PM I 23 South Corner Plaza ZBA#15-66 Page 4 of 4

(iv) A building permit as well as any other necessary permits must be obtained within a reasonable period of time following the filing of this decision and prior to undertaking any construction contemplated in this decision. To the extent any variance or Special Permit granted herein is subject to any conditions, the building department shall not be obligated to issue any necessary permits where any such condition imposed should, in the sole judgment of the building department, be first complied with as contemplated hereunder. Occupancy will not be made until, and unless, a Certificate of Occupancy is issued by the Office of Building, Zoning and Planning Administration and Enforcement which legally permits such occupancy.

(v) Any foregoing variance or Special Permit will lapse if any contemplated construction of the project or any use for which the variance or Special Permit is granted is not substantially implemented within one year of the date of filing of this decision or that of any other board of the Town of Orangetown granting any required final approval to such project, whichever is later, but in any event within two years of the filing of this decision. Merely obtaining a Building Permit with respect to construction or a Certificate of Occupancy with respect to use does not constitute "substantial implementation" for the purposes hereof.

The foregoing resolution to approve the application for the requested rear yard; Section 5.224-off-street parking; Section 3.11, CC District, Column 6, #9 (Parking: 61 spaces required, 59 spaces proposed); and Section 13.10 B(1)-screening and B(2) -25' buffer, variances was presented and moved by Mr. Bosco, seconded by Ms. Salomon and carried as follows: Mr. Bosco, aye; Mr. Feroldi, aye; Mr. Quinn, aye ;Mr. Sullivan, aye; and Ms. Salomon, aye. Ms. Castelli was absent.

The Administrative Aide to the Board is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to sign this decision and file a certified copy thereof in the office of the Town Clerk.

DATED: July 15, 2015

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

Schelen Dali? By\_

Deborah Arbolino Administrative Aide

**DISTRIBUTION:** 

APPLICANT ZBA MEMBERS SUPERVISOR TOWN BOARD MEMBERS TOWN ATTORNEY DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY OBZPAE BUILDING INSPECTOR-N.A. TOWN CLERK HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT ASSESSOR DEPT. of ENVIRONMENTAL MGMT. and ENGINEERING FILE,ZBA, PB CHAIRMAN, ZBA, PB, ACABOR

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN OF ORANGETOWN

#### ZBA SEQRA FINDINGS & MOTIONS FOR "TYPE II" EXEMPT ACTIONS and WHERE PLANNING BOARD, AS LEAD AGENCY, ISSUED NEGATIVE DECLARATION: FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS OF 07/15/2015

ZBA #15-61 (Applicant, DcRobertic); ZBA #15-62 (Applicant, Gerasimopoulos), ZBA #15-63 (Applicant, Luczaj), ZBA #15-64 (Applicant, Cavanaugh) and ZBA #15-65 (Applicant, Hovsepian):

"Based upon the testimony heard by this Board, and the facts as presented in the application submissions and in the record, since applications ZBA numbers <del>15-61 (Applicant, DeRobertis),</del> 15-62 (Applicant, Gerasimopoulos), 15-63 (Applicant, Luczaj), 15-64 (Applicant, Cavanaugh) and 15-65 (Applicant, Hovsepian)

- ⇒ seek to construct or expand a single, two or three family residence on an approved lot;
- ⇒ seek to construct, expand or place a minor accessory/appurtenant residential structure;
- ⇒ seek area or bulk variances for construction or expansion of, or relating to, a single, two or three family residence; and/or
- $\Rightarrow$  seek only setback or lot line variances;

these applications are exempt from environmental review under the State Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to SEQRA Regulations 617.5(c)(9), (10), (12) and/or (13).

If you don't have any questions or comments, any Board member may adopt my legal opinion as a motion, if you wish."

#### ZBA #15-66 (Applicant, South Corner Plaza Site Plan):

"With respect to ZBA number 15-66 (Applicant, South Corner Plaza Site Plan), since the Planning Board noticed its intent to declare itself Lead Agency and distributed that notice of intention to all Involved Agencies, including the ZBA who consented or did not object to the Planning Board acting as Lead Agency for this application, pursuant to coordinated review under State Environmental Quality Review Act Regulation §617.6(b)(3); and since the Planning Board conducted a SEQRA review and, on September 26, 2011, rendered an environmental determination of **no** significant adverse environmental impacts to result from the proposed land use action (i.e., a "Negative Declaration" or "Neg Dec"), the ZBA is bound by the Planning Board's Neg Dec and the ZBA cannot require further SEQRA review pursuant to SEQRA Regulation §617.6(b)(3).

If you don't have any questions or comments, any Board member may adopt my legal opinion as a motion, if you wish."

#### ZBA #15-67 (Applicant, Safeguard Biosystems):

"Based upon the testimony heard by this Board, and the facts as presented in the application submissions and in the record, since application ZBA number 15-67 (Applicant, Safeguard Biosystems) entails the ZBA engaging in a review to determine compliance with technical requirements, this application is exempt from environmental review under the State Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to SEQRA Regulation 617.5(c)(28).

If you don't have any questions or comments, any Board member may adopt my legal opinion as a motion, if you wish."

#### DECISION

#### **BUILDING HEIGHT VARIANCE APPROVED**

To: Donald Brenner (South Corner Plaza) 4 Independence Avenue Tappan, New York 10983

ZBA #17-03 Date: January 4, 2017 Permit # Not assigned

### FROM: ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS: Town of Orangetown

ZBA#17-03: Application of South Corner Plaza for a variance from Zoning Code (Chapter 43) of the Town of Orangetown Code, CC District, Group JJ, Column 12 (Building Height: 19' 11.94" permitted from the northeast property line, 33'8" proposed for the clock tower, 24' to the parapet, 35' 7" to arch canopy are proposed) for a proposed shopping plaza. The commercial property is located at 2 Route 340, Orangeburg, New York and is identified on the Orangetown Tax Map as Section 74.11, Block 2, Lot 47; in the CC zoning district.

Heard by the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Orangetown at a meeting held on Wednesday, January 4, 2017 at which time the Board made the determination hereinafter set forth.

Donald Brenner, Diane Samimi and Matthew Oscar, Architect, appeared and testified.

The following documents were presented:

- 1. Architectural plans with site plan dated of September 26, 2016 by Matthew Oscar, Registered Architect (2 pages).
- 2. Zoning Board Decision #15-66 dated July 15, 2015.
- 3. A letter from the County of Rockland Drainage Agency dated January 4, 2016 signed by Vincent Altieri, Executive Director.
- 4. A letter dated December 21, 2016 from the Rockland County Department of Planning signed by Douglas J. Schuetz, Acting Commissioner.
- 5. A letter dated December 29, 2016 from the Rockland County Sewer District #1, singed by Joseph La Fiandra, Engineer II.
- 6. A letter dated December 31, 2016 from the Rockland County Highway Department signed by Sonny Lin, P.E..

Ms. Castelli, Acting Chair, made a motion to open the Public Hearing which motion was seconded by Ms. Salomon and carried unanimously.

On advice of Dennis Michaels, Deputy Town Attorney, counsel to the Zoning Board of Appeals, Ms. Castelli moved for a Board determination that since the Planning Board noticed its intent to declare itself Lead Agency and distributed that notice of intention to all Involved Agencies, including the ZBA who consented or did not object to the Planning Board acting as Lead Agency for these applications, pursuant to coordinated review under the State Environmental Quality Review Act Regulations § 617.6 (b)(3); and since the Planning conducted SEQRA reviews and, on September 26, 2011 ( PB#09-20) rendered environmental determinations of no significant adverse environmental impacts to result from the proposed land use actions (i.e. a "Negative Declarations" of "Neg Dec."), the ZBA is bound by the Planning Board's Neg Dec and the ZBA cannot MO1 require further SEQRA review pursuant to SEQRA Regulations § 619.6 (b)(3). The motion was seconded by Ms. Salomon and carried as follows: Mr. Quinn, avg; Mr. Nut' 1102 Feroldi, aye; Ms. Castelli, aye; Mr. Bosco, aye; and Ms. Salomon, ave. Mr. Sullivan was absent. Permit # not assigned

South Corner Plaza ZBA#17-03 Page 2 of 4

Donald Brenner, Attorney, testified that this application was before this Board on July 15, 2015 and received variances for the parking and rear yard and Route 303 Overlay; that they went to ACABOR after that and when they reviewed the plans they liked a plan that was presented with the clock tower; that this plan needs a height variance to accommodate the clock tower; that it is a very attractive plan; that the existing house on the property is probably as high as the requested variance and that they would like to request an override from #5 of the letter from Rockland County Department of Planning, to keep things clean; that they were already granted variances from the Overlay zone in ZBA#15-66; that the Planning Board already granted SEQRA approval and the bridge from Route 303 to the property has been approved and the curb cut from Route 340 has been approved; that a full traffic study was done by John Collins; that the bridge does not touch the Moore & Moore building and it will be built from steel and concrete.

#### Public Comment:

Marianne Garrecht, 20 Delongis Court, Sparkill, NY expressed her concern about access to the property being so close to the Moore & Moore building; and questioned what type of access there would be and how close it would be from the Moore & Moore building and about concerns regarding parking and the creek.

The Board members made personal inspections of the premises the week before the meeting and found them to be properly posted and as generally described on the application.

A satisfactory statement in accordance with the provisions of Section 809 of the General Municipal Law of New York was received.

Ms. Castelli made a motion to close the Public Hearing which motion was seconded by Ms. Salomon and carried unanimously.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

After personal observation of the property, hearing all the testimony and reviewing all the documents submitted, the Board found and concluded that the benefits to the applicant if the variance(s) are granted outweigh the detriment (if any) to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant, for the following reasons:

- 1. The requested building height variance will not produce an undesirable change in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties. The property is substantially lower than Route 303 and the clock tower is attractive.
- 2. The requested building height variance will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district. The property is substantially lower than Route 303 and the clock tower is attractive.
- 3. The benefits sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by other means feasible for the applicant to pursue other than by obtaining a variance.
- 4. The requested building height variance, although somewing substantial affords O1 benefits to the applicant that are not outweighed by the detriment, if any, to the health, safety and welfare of the surrounding neighborhogg of hearby On munity 7 The existing non-conforming house on the property is at least as tall as the proposed height variance for the shopping center, which will be set both for the form the model.

South Corner Plaza ZBA#17-03 Page 3 of 4

Permit # not assigned

5. The applicant purchased the property subject to Orangetown's Zoning Code (Chapter 43) and is proposing a new addition and/or improvements, so the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration was relevant to the decision of the Board of Appeals, but did not, by itself, preclude the granting of the area variance.

DECISION: In view of the foregoing and the testimony and documents presented, the Board: RESOLVED, that the application for the requested building height variance is APPROVED and the Board overrides #5 from the Rockland County Department of Planning letter dated December 21, 2016, (see ZBA Decision #15-66 dated July 15, 2016); and FURTHER RESOLVED, that such decision and the vote thereon shall become effective and be deemed rendered on the date of adoption by the Board of the minutes of which they are a part.

#### **General Conditions:**

(i) The approval of any variance or Special Permit is granted by the Board in accordance with and subject to those facts shown on the plans submitted and, if applicable, as amended at or prior to this hearing, as hereinabove recited or set forth.

(ii) Any approval of a variance or Special Permit by the Board is limited to the specific variance or Special Permit requested but only to the extent such approval is granted herein and subject to those conditions, if any, upon which such approval was conditioned which are hereinbefore set forth.

(iii) The Board gives no approval of any building plans, including, without limitation, the accuracy and structural integrity thereof, of the applicant, but same have been submitted to the Board solely for informational and verification purposes relative to any variances being requested.

(iv) A building permit as well as any other necessary permits must be obtained within a reasonable period of time following the filing of this decision and prior to undertaking any construction contemplated in this decision. To the extent any variance or Special Permit granted herein is subject to any conditions, the building department shall not be obligated to issue any necessary permits where any such condition imposed should, in the sole judgment of the building department, be first complied with as contemplated hereunder. Occupancy will not be made until, and unless, a Certificate of Occupancy is issued by the Office of Building, Zoning and Planning Administration and Enforcement which legally permits such occupancy.

(v) Any foregoing variance or Special Permit will lapse if any contemplated construction of the project or any use for which the variance or Special Permit is granted is not substantially implemented within one year of the date of filing of this decision or that of any other board of the Town of Orangetown granting any required final approval to such project, whichever is later, but in any event within two years of the filing of this decision NMO1 Merely obtaining a Building Permit with respect to construction or a Certificate of Occupancy with respect to use does not constitute "substantial implementation" of Mir 2007 purposes hereof.

**NWOTEDNARO TO NWOT** 

Permit # not assigned

South Corner Plaza ZBA#17-03 Page 4 of 4

The foregoing resolution to approve the application for the requested building height variance and to override modification #5 of the letter dated December 21, 2016 from the County of Rockland Department of Planning (see ZBA Decision #15-66 dated July 15, 2016) was presented and moved by Mr. Feroldi, seconded by Ms. Salomon and carried as follows: Mr. Bosco, aye; Mr. Feroldi, aye; Mr. Quinn, aye ;Ms. Castelli, aye; and Ms. Salomon, aye. Mr. Sullivan was absent.

The Administrative Aide to the Board is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to sign this decision and file a certified copy thereof in the office of the Town Clerk.

DATED: January 4, 2017

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

By Deborah Arbolino

Administrative Aide

DISTRIBUTION:

APPLICANT ZBA MEMBERS SUPERVISOR TOWN BOARD MEMBERS TOWN ATTORNEY DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY OBZPAE BUILDING INSPECTOR-N.A. TOWN CLERK HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT ASSESSOR DEPT. of ENVIRONMENTAL MGMT. and ENGINEERING FILE,ZBA, PB CHAIRMAN, ZBA, PB, ACABOR

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

#### Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

#### **Instructions for Completing Part 1**

**Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor.** Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

#### A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):		
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):		
Nome of Applicant/Spancor	Talanhana	
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone:	
	E-Mail:	
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone:	L
	E-Mail:	
Address:		
	1	
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone:	
	F-Mail	
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:

#### **B.** Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship.	("Funding"	'includes grants,	loans, tax rel	lief, and any c	other forms	of financial
assistance.)						

Government E	Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Applicati (Actual or p	on Date projected)
a. City Counsel, Town Board or Village Board of Truste	d, □Yes□No ees			
b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Comm	□ Yes □ No ission			
c. City, Town or Village Zoning Board of A	□ Yes □ No Appeals			
d. Other local agencies	□ Yes □ No			
e. County agencies	□ Yes □ No			
f. Regional agencies	□ Yes □ No			
g. State agencies	□ Yes □ No	stream bank disturbance application		
h. Federal agencies	□ Yes □ No			
i. Coastal Resources. <i>i</i> . Is the project site withi	in a Coastal Area, c	or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland W	aterway?	□ Yes □ No
<i>ii.</i> Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program? <i>iii.</i> Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area?				□ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No

#### C. Planning and Zoning

C.1. Planning and zoning actions.	
<ul> <li>Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed?</li> <li>If Yes, complete sections C, F and G.</li> <li>If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No
C.2. Adopted land use plans.	
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located?	□ Yes □ No
<ul> <li>b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway; Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?)</li> <li>If Yes, identify the plan(s):</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No
<ul> <li>c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan?</li> <li>If Yes, identify the plan(s):</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No

C.3. Zoning	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district?	□ Yes □ No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	□ Yes □ No
<ul><li>c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action?</li><li>If Yes,</li><li><i>i</i>. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?</li></ul>	□ Yes □ No
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located?	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site?	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site?	
d. What parks serve the project site?	

٦

#### **D.** Project Details n 1. Pr А, d Potential De

L

D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, indu components)?	strial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?	acres
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?	acres
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned	
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	acres
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<i>i</i> . If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion	and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, housing units,
square feet)? % Units:	
d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
If Yes,	
<i>i</i> . Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commerci	al; if mixed, specify types)
<i>ii.</i> Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?	□ Yes □ No
<i>iii</i> . Number of lots proposed?	
<i>iv.</i> Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum	Maximum
e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<i>i</i> . If No, anticipated period of construction:	months
<i>ii.</i> If Yes:	
<ul> <li>Total number of phases anticipated</li> </ul>	
Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition	on) month year
<ul> <li>Anticipated completion date of final phase</li> </ul>	monthyear
<ul><li>Anticipated completion date of final phase</li><li>Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, in</li></ul>	cluding any contingencies where progress of one phase may
<ul> <li>Anticipated completion date of final phase</li> <li>Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, in determine timing or duration of future phases:</li></ul>	cluding any contingencies where progress of one phase may
<ul> <li>Anticipated completion date of final phase</li> <li>Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, in determine timing or duration of future phases:</li></ul>	monthyear acluding any contingencies where progress of one phase may

f. Does the project	ct include new resid	lential uses?			$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
If Yes, show nun	nbers of units propo	sed.	Thurse Franciles	Multiple Formily (form on mone)	
	<u>One Family</u>	<u>1 wo rainiy</u>	Thee Failing	Multiple Failing (Tour of more)	
Initial Phase			<u> </u>		
of all phases					
- -			1		- 17 - 11
g. Does the property of the groups	osed action include	new non-residentia	al construction (inclu	iding expansions)?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<i>i</i> . Total number	of structures				
ii. Dimensions (	in feet) of largest p	roposed structure:	height;IRR	EGULAR width; and IRREGULAR length	
<i>iii</i> . Approximate	extent of building	space to be heated	or cooled:	square feet	
h. Does the prope	osed action include	construction or oth	er activities that will	l result in the impoundment of any	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
liquids, such a	s creation of a wate	r supply, reservoir,	pond, lake, waste la	agoon or other storage?	
<i>i</i> . Purpose of the	e impoundment:				
<i>ii</i> . If a water imp	oundment, the prin	cipal source of the	water:	□ Ground water □ Surface water strea	ms $\Box$ Other specify:
<i>iii</i> . If other than w	water, identify the ty	ype of impounded/	contained liquids and	d their source.	
<i>iv.</i> Approximate	size of the propose	d impoundment.	Volume:	million gallons; surface area:	acres
v. Dimensions of	of the proposed dam	or impounding str	ucture:	_ height; length	
vi. Construction	method/materials f	for the proposed da	m or impounding str	ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, con	crete):
D.2. Project Op	erations				
a. Does the propo	osed action include	any excavation, mi	ning, or dredging, d	uring construction, operations, or both	$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
(Not including	general site prepara	ation, grading or in	stallation of utilities	or foundations where all excavated	
materials will i	remain onsite)				
If Yes:	6.1				
<i>i</i> . What is the pu	arpose of the excavator	ation or dredging?		a he removed from the site?	
• Volume	(specify tons or cul	bic vards):	s, etc.) is proposed to	b be removed from the site?	
Over where the second sec	at duration of time	?			
iii. Describe natu	re and characteristi	cs of materials to b	e excavated or dredg	ged, and plans to use, manage or dispos	se of them.
iv. Will there be	onsite dewatering	or processing of ex	cavated materials?		$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
If yes, descri	be	1 0			
v. What is the to	otal area to be dredg	ged or excavated?		acres	
vi. What is the fi	haximum area to be	worked at any one	ume :	acres	
<i>viii.</i> Will the exc	avation require blas	ting?		leet	□ Yes □ No
ix. Summarize sit	te reclamation goals	and plan:			
		-			
		<u> </u>			
b. Would the pro	posed action cause	or result in alteration	on of, increase or deach or adjacent area?	crease in size of, or encroachment	$\sqcup$ Yes $\Box$ No
If Yes:	mg wettand, watero	ouy, shorenne, dea	si or aujacent area?		
<i>i</i> . Identify the v	vetland or waterbod	y which would be	affected (by name, v	vater index number, wetland map num	per or geographic
description):					

\* Widths: 10.9 ft., 24.2 ft., and 76 ft. Lengths: 17 ft., 179.3 ft., and 132.5 ft.

<i>ii</i> . Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placeme alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in squ	ent of structures, or aare feet or acres:
<i>iii.</i> Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	Yes □ No
<i>iv.</i> Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
If Yes:	
<ul> <li>acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed.</li> <li>expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion;</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):</li> </ul>	
proposed method of plant removal:	
if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):	
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
c Will the proposed action use or create a new demand for water?	□ Ves □ No
If Yes:	
<i>i</i> . Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: gallons/day *	
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
If Yes:	
Name of district or service area:	
• Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<ul> <li>Is the project site in the existing district?</li> <li>Is expansion of the district needed?</li> </ul>	$\Box \operatorname{Yes} \Box \operatorname{No}$
<ul> <li>Is expansion of the district needed?</li> <li>Do existing lines serve the project site?</li> </ul>	$\Box$ Tes $\Box$ No
• Do existing fines serve the project site?	$\Box \operatorname{Yes} \Box \operatorname{No}$
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
• Source(s) of supply for the district:	
<i>iv.</i> Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? If, Yes:	□ Yes □ No
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:	
<i>v</i> . If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:	
<i>vi.</i> If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity:	gallons/minute.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? If Yes:	□ Yes □ No
<ul> <li><i>i.</i> Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: gallons/day</li> <li><i>ii.</i> Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe al approximate volumes or proportions of each):</li> </ul>	l components and
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used:	
Name of district:	<b></b>
• Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<ul> <li>Is the project site in the existing district?</li> <li>Is expansion of the district needed?</li> </ul>	$\sqcup \operatorname{Yes} \Box \operatorname{No}$
• is expansion of the district needed?	$\Box$ res $\Box$ no

\* According to the 20122U.S. Energy Information Administration's Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey data , mercantile buildings had a water consumption (per 1,000 sq. ft.) of 34.3 gallons per day. Page 5 of 13

• Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
• Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
If Yes:	
• Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
<i>iv.</i> Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes:	
• Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
v If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including speci	fving proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface discosal plans):	irying proposed
receiving water (name and elassification in surface disentitize of describe substitute disposal plans).	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point	
source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
<i>i</i> . How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet oracres (impervious surface)	
Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
<i>ii.</i> Describe types of new point sources	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent pi	operties,
groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	
	······
The surface material dentify associating materials as well as the	
• If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	
iv Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re use stormwater?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<i>iv.</i> Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials of concet and re-use stormwater.	
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	
If Yes, identify:	
<i>i</i> . Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
i Stationary sources during construction (a.g. nouser consection structure) heating batch plant structure)	
<i>u</i> . Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural nearing, batch plant, crushers)	
iii Stationary sources during operations (e.g. process emissions large boilers electric generation)	
<i>m</i> . Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, rarge boners, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
If Yes:	- <b>T</b> - <b>N</b>
<i>i</i> . Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	$\sqcup$ Yes $\Box$ No
ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	
<i>u</i> . In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF <sub>6</sub> )	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

<ul> <li>h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li><i>i</i>. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):</li> <li><i>ii</i>. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate the second second</li></ul></li></ul>	□ Yes □ No
electricity, flaring):	
<ul> <li>i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry or landfill operations?</li> <li>If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No
<ul> <li>j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li><i>i</i>. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply):</li> <li>Morning</li> <li>Evening</li> <li>Weekend</li> <li>Randomly between hours of to</li> <li><i>ii</i>. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No s):
iii. Parking spaces: Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease	
<ul> <li><i>iv.</i> Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?</li> <li><i>v.</i> If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing</li> </ul>	Yes No access, describe:
<ul> <li><i>vi.</i> Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site?</li> <li><i>vii</i> Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles?</li> <li><i>viii.</i> Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Proposed pedestrian concrete walk.</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
<ul> <li>k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li><i>i</i>. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:</li> </ul> </li> <li><i>ii</i>. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/demand.)</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No
<i>iii.</i> Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?	□ Yes □ No
1. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.       i. During Construction:       ii. During Operations:         • Monday - Friday:       • Monday - Friday:       • Monday - Friday:         • Saturday:       • Saturday:       • Saturday:         • Holidays:       • Holidays:       • Holidays:	

\* According to the 20122U.S. Energy Information Administration's Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Surveydata, mercantile buildings had a electricity consumption of 18.3 kWh per square foot.

Calculation: 10,165 sq. ft. (building) x 18.3 kWh. = 186,019 kWh

m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction,	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
If yes:	
<i>i</i> . Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:	
<i>ii.</i> Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? Describe:	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<i>i.</i> Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
<i>ii.</i> Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen?	□ Yes □ No
Describe:	
If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest	
occupied structures:	
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons)	□ Yes □ No
or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage?	105 110
If Yes: <i>i</i> Product(s) to be stored	
<i>ii.</i> Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year)	
<i>iii.</i> Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities:	
q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides,	□ Yes □ No
insecticides) during construction or operation?	
<i>i</i> . Describe proposed treatment(s):	
<i>n</i> . Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices? r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)?	
<i>i</i> . Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:	
Construction: tons per (unit of time)	
• Operation : tons per (unit of time)	
Construction:	
• Operation:	
<i>iii.</i> Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:	
• Construction:	
Operation:	

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility?
<ul> <li>i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities):</li> </ul>
<i>ii.</i> Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:
• Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or
• Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life: years
t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No waste?
If Yes:
<i>i</i> . Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility:
<i>ii</i> . Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents:
<i>iii.</i> Specify amount to be handled or generated tons/month
iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents:
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility?
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility:
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action

E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site			
a. Existing land uses. <i>i</i> . Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the □ Urban □ Industrial □ Commercial □ Resid □ Forest □ Agriculture □ Aquatic □ Other <i>ii</i> . If mix of uses, generally describe:	project site. lential (suburban) □ Rura · (specify):	l (non-farm)	
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.			
Land use or Covertype	Current Acreage	Acreage After Project Completion	Change (Acres +/-)
• Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces			
• Forested			
• Meadows, <u>grasslands</u> or brushlands (non- agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)			
• Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)			
• Surface water features (lakes, ponds, <u>streams</u> , rivers, etc.)			
• Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)			
• Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)			
Other     Describe:			

<ul><li>c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation?</li><li><i>i</i>. If Yes: explain:</li></ul>	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<ul> <li>d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site?</li> <li>If Yes,</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No
<i>i</i> . Identify Facilities:	
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? If Yes:	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<i>i</i> . Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	
Dam length: feet	
Surface area:     acres	
Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet	
<i>ii</i> . Dam's existing hazard classification:	
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility fees.	□ Yes □ No lity?
<i>i</i> . Has the facility been formally closed?	🗆 Yes 🗆 No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
<i>iii.</i> Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
<ul> <li>g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste?</li> <li>If Yes:</li> <li><i>i</i>. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurrent.</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No ed:
<ul> <li>h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? NO SPILL OR REMEDIAL ACTION ADJACENT If Yes:</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No T OR ON THE SITE
<i>i</i> . Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<ul> <li>□ Yes – Spills Incidents database</li> <li>□ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database</li> <li>□ Neither database</li> </ul> Provide DEC ID number(s): Provide DEC ID number(s):	
<i>ii.</i> If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
<i>iii.</i> Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	□ Yes □ No
<i>iv.</i> If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):*	
* Additional DEC sites flagged:	

4. DEC ID C344073: Located at 170 Route 303 where remediation at the site is complete.5. DEC ID C344078: Located at 5 Greenbush Road where the site is classified as A (Active).

Note: A Letter of No Impact has been received from the NYSDEC Division of Environmental Remediation (Attached). The NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation Database Search Details results have been attached for the sites listed above.

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?	☐ Yes ZNo
<ul> <li>If yes, DEC site ID number:</li> <li>Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement);</li> </ul>	
Describe any use limitations:	
Describe any engineering controls:	
<ul> <li>Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place?</li> <li>Explain:</li> </ul>	
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site	
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site?NAfeet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?%	☐ Yes <b>Z</b> No
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: HaB, Haven loam 16 9	6
Ux, Urban land 84 9	6
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: >6 feet	
a Desinger status of project site soils <b>7</b> Well Desingd HaD	
$\nabla$ NA - Ux 84 % of site	
Poorly Drained % of site	
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: 2 0-10%:86_% of site	
$\checkmark$ 10-15%: <u>4</u> % of site	
Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?	
If Yes, describe:	
h. Surface water features.	
<i>i</i> . Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, nonde or lakes)?	<b>√</b> Yes <b></b> No
<i>ii.</i> Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?	<b>V</b> Yes No
If Yes to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.	
<i>iii.</i> Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal,	Ves No
<i>iv.</i> For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information:	
• Streams: Name <u>856-6</u> Classification <u>C(T)</u>	
Lakes or Ponds: Name Classification	
Wetlands. Approximate Size	
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired waterbodies?	Yes ZNo
If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:	
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?	Yes No
j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain?	Yes No
k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain?	Yes No
1. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer?	<b>Y</b> es No
<i>i</i> . Name of aquifer: Principal Aquifer	
·	

m Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site:	
In Identify the predominant when especies that occupy of use the project site.	
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
If Yes:	
<i>i</i> . Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designation):	
ii Course(a) of description or evaluation.	
<i>ii</i> . Source(s) of description of evaluation:	
• Currently: acres	
Following completion of project as proposed:	
<ul> <li>Gain or loss (indicate + or -):</li> <li>Gain or loss (indicate + or -):</li> </ul>	
o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened	species?
If Yes:	
<i>i.</i> Species and listing (endangered or threatened):	
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
special concern?	
If Yes:	
i. Species and listing:	
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shell fishing?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use:	
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site	
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304?	
If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:	
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present?	□ Yes □ No
<i>i.</i> If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?	100 110
<i>ii.</i> Source(s) of soil rating(s):	
a Deap the project site contain all on port of on is it substantially continuous to a registered National	
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a registered National Netural Lendmark?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
Induital Lanumark?	
<i>i</i> Nature of the natural landmark: $\Box$ Biological Community $\Box$ Geological Feature	
<i>ii.</i> Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent:	
······································	
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area?	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
If Yes:	
<i>I.</i> CEA name:	
<i>u.</i> Basis for designation:	

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commiss Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic P	Yes No sioner of the NYS laces?
If Yes: <i>i</i> . Nature of historic/archaeological resource: Archaeological Site Historic Building or District <i>ii</i> . Name:	
iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?*	<b>⊘</b> Yes No
<ul> <li>g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>i. Describe possible resource(s):</li> <li>ii. Basis for identification:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes <b>Z</b> No
<ul> <li>h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource?</li> <li>If Yes:</li> <li><i>i</i> Identify resource: Palisades Interstate Parkway, Tappan Zee Bridge, Hudson Biver</li> </ul>	Ves No
<ul> <li>ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or etc.): <u>State Scenic Byway</u>, <u>State Scenic Road</u>, <u>Scenic River</u></li> <li>iii. Distance between project and resource: 0.4-2.4 miles.</li> </ul>	r scenic byway,
<ul> <li>i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666?</li> <li>If Yes:         <ul> <li>i. Identify the name of the river and its designation:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes No
<i>ii.</i> Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	∐Yes <b>N</b> o

\* SHPO has responded with a Letter of No Effect dated January 21, 2022 (see attached).

#### F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.

If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

#### G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name Daniel H. Samimi	Date 04/13/2022 : 8/16/2022
Signature D. A.	Title Applicant
Jigiature /	1 the Applicant

#### EAF Mapper Summary Report



No
No
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Yes
C344066, V00579, V00343, C344073, C344078
No
Yes
Yes
Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
856-6
C(T)
Federal Waters
No
Yes

E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	Yes
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	Yes
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	Yes
E.2.I. [Aquifer Names]	Principal Aquifer
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	No
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

#### NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Remediation, Remedial Bureau C 625 Broadway, 12th Floor, Albany, NY 12233-7014 P: (518) 402-9662 I F: (518) 402-9679 www.dec.ny.gov

Transmitted Via Email Only

January 3, 2022

Ramanathan, AICP Planning Analyst Atzl, Nasher & Zigler, P.C. 232 North Main Street New City, NY 10956 rramanathan@anzny.com

Re: 2858 South Corner Plaza & Orangetown Commerce Center NYSDEC Site No. C344078

Dear Ramya Ramanathan,

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) has reviewed your request for a determination on whether contamination present at the Orangetown Commerce Center site (Site) will have any impact on the proposed development at 2858 South Corner Plaza, 2 Route 340, Orangeburg, Orangetown NY. Based upon our review of groundwater data previously described in the DEC-approved Remedial Action Work Plan for the Site, as well as the location of the proposed development cross-gradient from the projected groundwater flow direction, it does not appear likely that any contamination present at the Site will produce an impact at the proposed development location.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at <u>justin.starr@dec.ny.gov</u> or 518-402-9662.

Sincerely,

fut it

Justin C. Starr, P.G. Assistant Geologist, Remedial Bureau C Division of Environmental Remediation

ec: A. Omorogbe J. Starr D. Bendell D2





Department of Environmental Conservation

# Environmental Site Remediation Database Search Details

# Site Record

**Document Repository** 

Site-related documents are available for review through the DECInfo Locator on line at DECInfoLocator

# **Administrative Information**

Site Name: Orangeburg (Orangetown) Shopping Center Site Code: C344066 Program: Brownfield Cleanup Program Classification: C EPA ID Number:

# Location

DEC Region: 3 Address: 1-45 Orangetown Shopping Center City:Orangetown Zip: 10962 County:Rockland Latitude: 41.044645384 Longitude: -73.952601448 Site Type: Estimated Size: 1.33 Acres

# **Institutional And Engineering Controls**

Control Type: Environmental Easement

#### **Control Elements:**

Ground Water Use Restriction Groundwater Treatment System Vapor Mitigation Soil Management Plan Cover System Landuse Restriction Monitoring Plan Site Management Plan O&M Plan IC/EC Plan

# Site Owner(s) and Operator(s)

Current Owner Name: UB Orangeburg, LLC Current Owner(s) Address: Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc. Greenwich,CT, 06830 Current On-Site Operator: SPARKLE CLEANERS Stated Operator(s) Address: 12 ORANGETOWN SHOPPING CENTER ORANGEBURG,NY 10962

### **Site Document Repository**

Name: Orangeburg Library Address: 20 South Greenbush Road Orangeburg,NY 10962 Name: NYSDEC Region 3 Address: 21 S. Putt Corners Road New Paltz,NY 12561

## **Site Description**

Location: The Orangeburg Shopping Center site is located in a suburban area of the Town of Orangetown, Rockland County. The shopping center property is generally bounded by Orangeburg Road to the north, Dutch Hill Road to the west, Highview Avenue to the south and Oak Street to the east. The site consists of approximately 1.33-acres in the southeastern portion of the 11-acre shopping plaza parcel. Site Features: The shopping plaza contains three buildings with various commercial businesses. The site comprises a portion of the eastern building and an adjacent parking area and roadway. The eastern portion of the property and the site drops steeply along a wooded slope. Current Zoning/Use(s): The site is zoned commercial and is part of a commercial property which contains several businesses. There is an active dry cleaning facility located near the southern end of the eastern building. The surrounding area is used for a mixture of commercial and residential purposes. The site adjoins several residential parcels. Historical Uses(s): A dry cleaner has been present in the plaza since approximately 1966. Contamination has been identified in the vicinity of the dry cleaner and former dry cleaning operations/disposal practices are considered to be the source of the contamination. Site Geology and Hydrogeology: Soil at the site consists of hard, dense reddish till. The depth to bedrock ranges from approximately 30 feet adjacent to the on-site buildings to approximately 10 feet along Oak Street. Bedrock is reported to be a reddish-brown shale-like mudstone with alternating layers of red-brown sandstone, with the top 10 to 20 feet being weathered. Shallow groundwater flow is to the east. Perched groundwater was encountered above the regional groundwater table on-site, in some instances directly beneath the asphalt parking lot. The groundwater table was typically encountered around 30 to 40 feet below grade.

### **Summary of Project Completion Dates**

Projects associated with this site are listed in the Project Completion Dates table and are grouped by Operable Unit (OU). A site can be divided into a number of operable units depending on the complexity of the site and the number of issues associated with a site. Sites are often divided into operable units based on the media to be addressed (such as groundwater or contaminated soil), geographic area, or other factors.

Project Completion Dates

# **Contaminants of Concern (Including Materials Disposed)**

**Contaminant Name/Type** 

1,1 dichloroethene trichloroethene (TCE) vinyl chloride tetrachloroethene (PCE)

## **Site Environmental Assessment**

Nature and Extent of Contamination: Remediation at the site is complete. Prior to remediation, the primary contaminants of concern were dry cleaning solvents and associated degradation products, which include tetrachloroethene (PCE), trichloroethene (TCE), cis-1,2-dichloroethene (DCE) and vinyl chloride (VC). Remedial actions have successfully achieved soil cleanup objectives for commercial use. Residual contamination exists in the soil and groundwater. The remaining contamination is being managed under a Site Management Plan.

## Site Health Assessment

Since some contaminated soil remains at the site below buildings or clean backfill, people will not come in contact with contaminated soils unless they dig below the surface. Contaminated groundwater at the site is not used for drinking or other purposes and the site is served by a public water supply that obtains water from a different source not affected by this contamination. Volatile organic compounds in the groundwater or soil may move into the soil vapor (air spaces within the soil), which in turn may move into overlying buildings and affect the indoor air quality. This process, which is similar to the movement of radon gas from the subsurface into the indoor air of buildings, is referred to as soil vapor intrusion. Sub-slab depressurization systems (systems that ventilate/remove the air beneath the building) have been installed in on-site tenant spaces to prevent the indoor air quality from being affected by the contamination in soil vapor beneath the buildings. Sampling indicates soil vapor intrusion is not a concern for off-site buildings.

For more Information: E-mail Us

Refine This Search



Department of Environmental Conservation

# Environmental Site Remediation Database Search Details

# Site Record

**Document Repository** 

Site-related documents are available for review through the DECInfo Locator on line at DECInfoLocator

# **Administrative Information**

Site Name: Former Orangeburg Pipe Mfg-Lowe's Site Site Code: V00579 Program: Voluntary Cleanup Program Classification: C EPA ID Number:

# Location

DEC Region: 3 Address: Route 303 City:Orangetown Zip: 10962 County:Rockland Latitude: 41.042062426 Longitude: -73.946972739 Site Type: Estimated Size: 12.4 Acres

# **Institutional And Engineering Controls**

Control Type: Deed Restriction

**Control Elements:** Ground Water Use Restriction Soil Management Plan Cover System Landuse Restriction

# Site Owner(s) and Operator(s)

Current Owner Name: ORANGEBURG HOLDINGS,LLC Current Owner(s) Address: 505 Main Street Hackensack,NJ, 07601

# **Site Description**

The Former Orangeburg Pipe Manufacturing Facility - Lowes Site is located at 206 Route 303 in a suburban area in the Town of Orangetown, Rockland County. The site is approximately 12.4 acres in size and is located in Orangeburg on the west side of Route 303, approximately 0.25 mile south of the intersection of Route 303 and Route 340. The site is currently the location of a Lowe's retail store. The surrounding area is currently used for a combination of commercial, residential, light industrial, and municipal uses. The nearest residential area is 0.1 miles west on Western Highway. Until 1973 the site was used to manufacture Orangeburg pipe. The former Orangeburg Pipe manufacturing facility began operations in 1893 as the Fibre Conduit Company. The company later changed its name to Orangeburg Pipe. It made pipes by impregnating paper fiber cylinders with coal tar pitch. The company disposed of off-spec piping on-site. Flintkote bought Orangeburg Pipe in 1958 and operated the facility until 1973. After 1973, the on-site building was destroyed by fire. The site was left littered with discarded pipe and other detritis from the manufacturing operation and subsequent fire. The site remedial program was performed by the property owner, Orangeburg Holdings, LLC, as a volunteer in the DEC's Voluntary Cleanup Program. As part of the remedial program, certain areas of contamination were excavated for off-site disposal, a cover system was constructed across the site and a groundwater pump and treat system was installed. The system discharges to the local sewer system. Also, a deed restriction was attached to the property. The volunteer/owner has completed the remedial program and since leased the site to Lowe's Home Centers, Inc. who constructed a large retail store on the site. The site is subject to a Site Management Plan which addresses operation, maintenance and reporting requirements.

# **Contaminants of Concern (Including Materials Disposed)**

**Contaminant Name/Type** coal tar pitch volatiles

## **Site Environmental Assessment**

Remediation at the site is complete. Prior to remediation, the primary contaminants of concern were volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) in soil and groundwater and asbestos, which is present in wastes remaining at the site. Remedial actions have successfully achieved soil cleanup objectives for commercial use. Residual contamination in the soil and groundwater is being managed under a Site Management Plan.

# Site Health Assessment

Remedial actions are complete and measures are in place to prevent people from coming into contact with any residual contamination at the site.

For more Information: E-mail Us



Department of Environmental Conservation

# Environmental Site Remediation Database Search Details

# Site Record

**Document Repository** 

Site-related documents are available for review through the DECInfo Locator on line at DECInfoLocator

# **Administrative Information**

Site Name: Former Orangeburg Pipe Landfill DS&HM Site Code: V00343 Program: Voluntary Cleanup Program Classification: N \* EPA ID Number:

# Location

DEC Region: 3 Address: NYS Route 303 City:Orangetown Zip: 10962 County:Rockland Latitude: 41.042566957 Longitude: -73.948994027 Site Type: Estimated Size: 0 Acres

# Site Owner(s) and Operator(s)

Current Owner Name: FB Orangetown, LLC Current Owner(s) Address: c/o RD Management Corp New York,NY, 10019

# **Site Description**

Location: The Former Orangeburg Pipe Landfill site is located in a suburban portion area of the Town of Orangetown, Rockland County. The site is located on the west side of Route 303 and is bordered to the south by the Route 303/Palisades Interstate Parkway interchange (Palisades Exit 5) and to the north by a home improvement store. Site Features: The site is a portion of the former Orangeburg Pipe facility and does not contain any buildings or structures. Weeds and other scrubby vegetation cover much of the site. Other site features include a small wetland area on the southeastern portion of the site. Current Zoning/Use(s): The site is currently vacant, but is proposed to be used for commercial purposes. The surrounding area is used for commercial and residential purposes. Historical Use(s): The site was formerly used to dispose of off-spec Orangeburg pipe. Orangeburg pipe was produced at the manufacturing facility (formerly located immediately

north of the site) from 1893 to 1972. Orangeburg pipe is a tar-impregnated paper pipe. From 1946 to 1970, asbestos fibers were added to control delamination of the pipe. The plant facility was demolished in 1974, and all underground tanks were reportedly excavated and removed. Site Geology: Groundwater was encountered from approximately 8 to 12 feet below grade and flows to the east.

# **Contaminants of Concern (Including Materials Disposed)**

Contaminant Name/Type coal tar pitch volatiles

naphthalene

### **Site Environmental Assessment**

Contamination exists as a result of discarded Orangeburg pipe, which is present across much of the site. Primary contaminants of concern include several polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), including naphthalene; benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX); and asbestos. PAHs and BTEX are present primarily as a result of the coal tar used to manufacture Orangeburg pipe. Soil sampling results show elevated levels of total PAHs ranging from 46 parts per million (ppm) to 37,869 ppm, compared to the guidance values for total PAHs of 1 ppm for surface soil and 10 ppm for sub-surface soil. Most soil samples exhibited exceedances of soil guidance values for several individual PAHs, as well. Groundwater sampling showed levels of naphthalene as high as 2,300 micrograms per Liter (ug/L), compared to its groundwater guidance value of 10 ug/L. Naphthalene was detected above guidance in 5 of 11 groundwater samples collected in December 2006.

\* Class N Sites: "DEC offers this information with the caution that the amount of information provided for Class N sites is highly variable, not necessarily based on any DEC investigation, sometimes of unknown origin, and sometimes is many years old. Due to the preliminary nature of this information, significant conclusions or decisions should not be based solely upon this summary."

For more Information: E-mail Us

**Refine This Search** 



Department of Environmental Conservation

# Environmental Site Remediation Database Search Details

# Site Record

**Document Repository** 

Site-related documents are available for review through the DECInfo Locator on line at DECInfoLocator

# **Administrative Information**

Site Name: Orangeburg Commons Site Code: C344073 Program: Brownfield Cleanup Program Classification: C EPA ID Number:

# Location

DEC Region: 3 Address: 170 Route 303 City:Orangeburg Zip: 10962 County:Rockland Latitude: 41.039722222 Longitude: -73.9475 Site Type: Estimated Size: 15.8 Acres

# **Institutional And Engineering Controls**

Control Type: Environmental Easement

#### **Control Elements:**

IC/EC Plan Ground Water Use Restriction Vapor Mitigation Soil Management Plan Cover System Landuse Restriction Monitoring Plan Site Management Plan O&M Plan

# Site Owner(s) and Operator(s)

Current Owner Name: FB Orangetown LLC Current Owner(s) Address: 810 Seventh Avenue, 10th Floor New York,NY, 10019

# **Site Document Repository**

Name: Orangeburg Library Address: 20 South Greenbush Road Orangeburg,NY 10962 Name: NYSDEC Region 3 Office Address: 21 S. Putt Corners Road New Paltz,NY 12561

### **Site Description**

Location: The site is approximately 15.8 acres and is located on State Rt. 303 in Orangeburg in the Town of Orangetown. It is pentagon-shaped and bordered by Stevens Way and a Lowe's Home Improvement Store to the north; New York State Route 303 and commercial properties to the east; the Palisades Parkway to the south; and railroad tracks followed by residential properties to the west. Site Features: The site was previously vacant and partially cleared of trees and brush. The site contains a newly constructed Stop and Shop and Residence Inn. Current Zoning and Land Use: The site is zoned commercial and light industrial. The surrounding area is used for commercial and residential purposes. Past Use of the Site: The site was once a portion of the Orangeburg Pipe property which began manufacturing pipe in the 1890s. This site was reported to be used primarily for storage, but pipe not meeting specifications was also disposed of at the site. Prior to 1946, the pipe was manufactured by impregnating paper fiber cylinders with coal tar pitch; from 1946 - 1970, a paper/asbestos mixture was used to make the cylinders; after 1970, wollastonite (a fibrous, magnesium oxide mineral) replaced asbestos in the process. After manufacturing ceased in 1973, most of the manufacturing facility on the adjacent property was destroyed by fire with the remaining structures reportedly demolished and deposited at the project site. Site Geology and Hydrogeology: The top 5 to 15 feet (ft) of material over most of the area is a mixture of fill containing topsoil, sand and gravel, and varying amounts of construction and demolition (C&D) debris, brick, glass fragments, and pieces of Orangeburg pipe. The fill materials are underlain by a layer of glacial till containing a low-permeability mixture of reddish brown sand, gravel, silt and clay. Deeper native overburden above the bedrock contains some stratified drift deposits. Depth to bedrock surface ranges from 35 to 45 ft below grade and the Brunswick bedrock formation is a sandstone conglomerate. Groundwater exists beneath the site in three distinct units: the shallow water table is at depths ranging from 9 to 15 ft within fill material and shallow till sediments; the second distinct groundwater unit exists in the deep till and stratified drift deposits; and the third unit consists of a bedrock aquifer. Groundwater flow in the shallow aquifer is to the southeast while in the deep and bedrock aquifer, groundwater flows to the east.

## **Summary of Project Completion Dates**

Projects associated with this site are listed in the Project Completion Dates table and are grouped by Operable Unit (OU). A site can be divided into a number of operable units depending on the complexity of the site and the number of issues associated with a site. Sites are often divided into operable units based on the media to be addressed (such as groundwater or contaminated soil), geographic area, or other factors.

**Project Completion Dates** 

# **Contaminants of Concern (Including Materials Disposed)**

**Contaminant Name/Type** coal tar pitch volatiles naphthalene

### **Site Environmental Assessment**

Nature and Extent of Contamination: Remediation at the site is complete. Prior to remediation, the primary contaminants of concern (COCs) were semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in waste, soils and groundwater, which were attributable to the coal tar with which the pipe was manufactured. Other COCs included asbestos in waste materials and chlorinated VOCs in soil gas. Remedial actions have successfully achieved soil cleanup objectives for commercial use. Residual contamination exists in the soil and groundwater. The remaining contamination is being managed under a Site Management Plan.

## Site Health Assessment

Measures are in place to control the potential for direct contact with subsurface soil and groundwater contamination remaining on site. Contaminated groundwater at the site is not used for drinking or other purposes and the area is served by a private water supplier that obtains water from a source not affected by this contamination. Volatile organic compounds in contaminated groundwater or soil may move into the soil vapor (air spaces within the soil), which in turn may move into overlying buildings and affect the indoor air quality. This process, which is similar to the movement of radon gas from the subsurface into the indoor air of buildings, is referred to as soil vapor intrusion. On-site buildings have been constructed with passive sub-slab depressurization systems to prevent indoor air quality from being affected by contaminated soil vapor. These passive systems have been determined effective in preventing soil vapor intrusion from affecting indoor air quality. Measures are in place to prevent inhalation of site contaminants in indoor air for any future on-site development. Environmental sampling indicates that soil vapor intrusion is not a concern for off-site buildings.

For more Information: E-mail Us

**Refine This Search** 



Department of Environmental Conservation

# Environmental Site Remediation Database Search Details

# Site Record

**Document Repository** 

Site-related documents are available for review through the DECInfo Locator on line at DECInfoLocator

# Administrative Information

Site Name: Orangetown Commerce Center Site Code: C344078 Program: Brownfield Cleanup Program Classification: A EPA ID Number:

# Location

DEC Region: 3 Address: 5 Greenbush Road City:Orangeburg Zip: 10962 County:Rockland Latitude: 41.042733333 Longitude: -73.948669444 Site Type: Estimated Size: 5.84 Acres

# Site Owner(s) and Operator(s)

Current Owner Name: BF Orangetown LLC Current Owner(s) Address: c/o RD Management LLC New York,NY, 10019 Current On-Site Operator: BF Orangetown LLC Stated Operator(s) Address: c/o RD Management LLC New York,NY 10019

# **Site Document Repository**

Name: Orangeburg Library Address: 20 South Greenbush Road Orangeburg,NY 10962

# **Site Description**

Location: The subject property is about 5.84 acres in size and is located at 5 Greenbush Road, Orangetown, New York. The site is bounded to the east by Greenbush Road, to the west by Western Highway and to the north by Highview Avenue. Site Features: The property is currently undeveloped and no buildings remain. Concrete

pads from the former buildings, as well as an underground storage tank (UST), have been removed. Small trees, brush and natural growth cover the property. A small portion of the site is currently being used as a storage yard for construction equipment. Current Zoning and Land Use: The property is currently zoned as Light Industrial (LI), which allows for manufacturing, wholesale, warehouse and storage uses, offices, commercial, recreation and theaters. A portion of the property is used as a contractor, s storage yard. The nearest residential area is about 175 feet west of the Site. The surrounding parcels are currently used for a combination of commercial, light industrial and residential use, and utility and railroad rights-of-way. Past Use of the subject property: The subject property was part of a larger facility used for the manufacturing of Orangeburg Pipe beginning in about the 1890s. Prior to 1946, the Orangeburg Pipe was manufactured by impregnating paper fiber cylinders with coal tar pitch. From 1946 to 1970, a paper and asbestos mixture was used to make the pipe cylinders. After 1970, wollastonite (a fibrous, magnesium oxide mineral) was used in place of asbestos. Most of the manufacturing operations were located on the adjacent current Lowe's property. The subject property was reportedly used mainly for storage during this time. In 1953, manufacturing operations were acquired by Flintkote. Pipe manufacturing was reported to have discontinued in 1973. After ceasing operations, most of the facility was destroyed by fire. The remaining structures were reportedly demolished and deposited on the subject property. Site Geology and Hydrogeology: The top 2 to 12 feet consists of a mixture of fill containing topsoil, sand, and gravel. The underlying soils are reported to consist of glacial till containing a low permeability mixture of reddish grey sand, gravel, silt and clay. The deeper native overburden above the bedrock was reported to contain some stratified drift deposits. The depth to the bedrock surface ranges from 35 to 45 feet below grade. Previous groundwater studies show that groundwater exists beneath the Orangeburg Pipe complex in three distinct units. The shallow water table exists at depths ranging from approximately 9 to 15 feet below grade and flows southeast. The second distinct unit exists in the deep till and the third unit consists of a bedrock aquifer. Groundwater flow in the deep till and bedrock aquifers to the east.

#### **Contaminants of Concern (Including Materials Disposed)**

**Contaminant Name/Type** manganese benzo(a)pyrene sodium benzo(a)anthracene dibenz[a,h]anthracene iron lead benzo(k)fluoranthene phenanthrene benzo(b)fluoranthene chrysene indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene

### **Site Environmental Assessment**

Nature and Extent of Contamination : Remedial Investigation activities over the past three decades have analyzed groundwater, soil, and soil vapor samples at the site. The primary contaminants of concern at the Site include semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), metals, and petroleum constituents. Non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) has been observed during multiple investigations. Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were detected in groundwater during past investigations; however, recent sampling does not indicate the presence of VOCs at the site currently. Soil ¿ Investigations beginning in 1986 and conducted through 2016 have analyzed soil samples at the site at varying intervals for VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides, PCBs, and metals. Chromium, lead, and manganese were detected above commercial use SCOs. Chromium was detected at maximum concentrations of 38.4 parts per million (ppm). The commercial use soil cleanup objective (CUSCO) for chromium is 36 ppm. Lead was detected at a maximum concentration of 2,250 ppm (CUSCOs for lead is 1,000 ppm) and manganese was detected at a maximum concentration of 2,640 ppm (CUSCOs for manganese is 2,000 ppm.) SVOCs, primarily polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), including benzo[a]anthracene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[b]fluoranthene and chrysene etc., were detected at maximum concentrations of 110,000, 97,000, 120,000 and 110,000 ppm respectively. The CUSCO for each of these compounds is 1,000 ppm. Separate phase coal tar was observed at two locations on the site near and within the former tank area. The bulk of contaminated soil was encountered between 5 and 9 feet below ground surface (bgs), with contamination found in one location at 1 to 5 feet bgs. Pesticides and PCBs were not detected in soil samples. Based on the investigations completed, the potential for soil contamination to extend off-site beneath the existing road cannot be ruled out. However, DEC will work with Rockland County Highway Department and NYSDOH to ensure that appropriate actions are taken to evaluate the potential for contamination and to minimize associated human exposures if this off-site area becomes accessible or is to be accessed. Groundwater - Past investigations analyzed groundwater for VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides, PCBs, and metals. Analyses detected VOCs (mainly chlorinated solvents and petroleum constituents), SVOCs (primarily PAHs), and metals above ambient water quality standards. Volatile organic compounds were previously detected in groundwater during sampling events in the late 1980¿s and early 1990¿s; however, recent sampling (2009-2016) has indicated that VOCs are no longer impacting groundwater. SVOCs detected in groundwater include benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[b]fluoranthene and chrysene, which were detected at maximum concentrations of 1.8 ppb (standard of non-detect), 2.4 ppb (guidance of 0.002 ppb), and 2.4 ppb (guidance of 0.002 ppb), respectively. One pesticide (trans-Chlordane) was detected in two groundwater samples at concentrations of 0.013 ug/L and 0.01 ug/L. PCBs were not detected in groundwater. Soil Vapor ¿ Analysis of soil vapor was conducted during a sampling event in 1990. Three locations were sampled for VOCs, located in the northern half of the site: one point in the northeastern corner of the site, and two points each about 100¿ from the site boundaries in the northwestern portion of the site. Perchloroethylene (PCE) was detected at one of the three soil vapor samples collected at maximum of concentration of 2,442 mcg/m3 (micrograms per cubic meter). Benzene was detected at 32 mcg/m3 and xylenes at 651 mcg/m3. No buildings currently exist on the Site, eliminating the potential for soil vapor intrusion into indoor air on the Site at this time.

#### Site Health Assessment

The site is not fenced and persons who enter the site could contact contaminants in the soil by walking on the soil, digging or otherwise disturbing the soil. Contaminated groundwater at the site is not used for drinking or

other purposes and the site is served by a public water supply that obtains water from a different source not affected by this contamination. Volatile organic compounds in the groundwater may move into the soil vapor (air spaces within the soil), which in turn may move into nearby buildings and affect the indoor air quality. This process, which is similar to the movement of radon gas from the subsurface into the indoor air of buildings, is referred to as soil vapor intrusion. Because the site is vacant, the inhalation of site-related contaminants due to soil vapor intrusion does not represent a current concern. Furthermore, environmental sampling indicates soil vapor intrusion is not a concern for off-site buildings.

For more Information: E-mail Us

Refine This Search



Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation

KATHY HOCHUL Governor ERIK KULLESEID Commissioner

January 21, 2022

Ramya Ramanathan Planning Analyst ATZL, NASHER & ZIGLER, P.C. 232 North Main St. New City, NY 10956

Re: DEC

S Corner Plaza Shopping Center: Demolition & New Construction 2 Route 340, Orangeburg, NY 10962 21PR08708

Dear Ramya Ramanathan:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP). We have reviewed the project in accordance with the New York State Historic Preservation Act of 1980 (Section 14.09 of the New York Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law). These comments are those of the OPRHP and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources. They do not include potential environmental impacts to New York State Parkland that may be involved in or near your project. Such impacts must be considered as part of the environmental review of the project pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (New York Environmental Conservation Law Article 8) and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617).

Based upon this review, it is the opinion of OPRHP that no properties, including archaeological and/or historic resources, listed in or eligible for the New York State and National Registers of Historic Places will be impacted by this project.

If further correspondence is required regarding this project, please be sure to refer to the OPRHP Project Review (PR) number noted above.

Sincerely,

Daniel Me

R. Daniel Mackay

Deputy Commissioner for Historic Preservation Division for Historic Preservation



CLERK. 12. SIDEWALKS AND CURBS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT SPECIFICATIONS.

13. TEMPORARY SEDIMENT ENTRAPMENT AREAS SHALL BE PROVIDED AS SHOWN TO INTERCEPT AND CLARIFY SILT LADEN RUNOFF FROM THE SITE. THESE MAY BE EXCAVATED OR MAY BE CREATED UTILIZING EARTHEN BERMS, RIP-RAP OR CRUSHED STONE DAMS, HAY BALES, OR OTHER SUITABLE MATERIALS. DIVERSION SWALES, BERMS OR THE CHANNELIZATION SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED TO INSURE THAT ALL SILT LADEN WATERS ARE DIRECTED INTO THE ENTRAPMENT. AREAS, WHICH SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED TO FILL IN, BUT SHALL BE CLEANED PERIODICALLY DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION. THE COLLECTED SILT SHALL BE DEPOSITED IN AREAS SAFE FROM FURTHER EROSION.

• • • • • • • EXISTING LIMIT OF WETLANDS

EXISTING STONEWALL

EXISTING SIGN

EXISTING LIGHT POLE

EXISTING UTILITY POLE

- 0'-

άlΡ

സംUP

LOCATION OF TEST HOLE

PROPOSED FIRE LANE

WS PROPOSED WATERSHED

LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE

ANALITATION IN AN ANALITATION OF AN ANALITATION OF AN ANALITATION (PROPOSED CONDITION)

PROPOSED WATER SERVICE

- - UGE -- UGE -- PROPOSED UNDERGROUND SERVICE

annual example of the second s

OF EROSION CONTROL DEVICES OR THE REMOVAL OF TREES AND VEGETATION, A PRECONSTRUCTION MEETING MUST BE HELD WITH THE DEME, SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS AND THE OFFICE OF BUILDING, ZONING AND PLANNING ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PROPERTY OWNER TO ARRANGE SUCH A MEETING.

COYNE & SAMIMI ENTERPRISE, LLC 120 MONTE VISTA AVENUE RIDGEWOOD, N.J. 07450

# TAX MAP REFERENCE:

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN TAX MAP SECTION 74.11, BLOCK 2, PARCEL 47 ORANGEBURG, NY 10962

AREA: 50,381 SQ.FT. OR 1.157 ACS. DANIEL H. SAMIMI 120 MONTE VISTA AVENUE RIDGEWOOD, N.J. 07450

SITE ADDRESS: 2 ROUTE 340

DATUM: NAVD 1988

VICINITY MAP SCALE: 1"=300'

SITE

DRAWI	<b>VG LI</b>	ST:			
DRAWING	No.		TITLE	ORIGIN	REVISION
DRAWING	1	_	SITE PLAN	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	2	_	EXISTING CONDITION	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	3	· · ·	GRADING PLAN	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	4		SPARKILL CREEK PLAN & PROFILE	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	5	.—	CROSS SECTIONS PROFILE VIEW & PLAN	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	6	-	CROSS SECTIONS PROFILE VIEW	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	7	-	EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	8	<b></b> '	DETAILS	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	9	_	DETAILS	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	10	_	LIGHTING PLAN	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	11	<del>.</del>	LIGHTING DETAILS	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	12	-	TRUCK TURNING RADIUS & SIGH DISTANCE PLAN	AUGUST 05, 2021	AUGUST 16, 2022
DRAWING	13		LANDSCAPING PLAN	APRIL 13, 2022	APRIL 13, 2022

### **BULK REQUIREMENTS:**

ZONE: CC GROUP: JJ	REQUIRED	PROVIDED
MAXIMUM FLOOR AREA RATIO	0.30	0.21
MINIMUM LOT AREA	2,500 SQ. FT.	50,381 SQ. FT.
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH	25 FT.	212.54 FT.
MINIMUM STREET FRONTAGE	25 FT.	376 FT.
MINIMUM FRONT YARD	0/45 FT.	0 FT.
MINIMUM SIDE YARD	0/12 FT.	0 FT.
MINIMUM TOTAL SIDE YARD	0/25 FT.	0 FT.
MINIMUM REAR YARD	25 FT:	114 FT.
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT	22 FT.	29 FT.*
(1'-4" FROM D.S.L. FOR BLDG.		· .
25 FT. VEGETATIVE BUFFER PER ROUTE		
303 OVERLAY DISTRICT (S13.10(B)(2))		*
GREATER THAN 22 FT.)		
LOADING BERTH	1	0 *
DUMPSTER	25 FT.	14 FT. *

\* VARIANCE REQUIRED NOTE

ON JANUARY 4, 2017, THE ZBA GRANTED A VARIANCE FOR BUILDING HEIGHT: 19'-11.94" PERMITTED FROM THE NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINE, 33'-8" PROPOSED FOR THE CLOCK TOWER, 24' TO THE PARAPET, 35'-7" TO ARCH CANOPY ARE PROPOSED. (ZBA #17-03)

ON JULY 15, 2015, THE ZBA GRANTED VARIANCES FOR REAR YARD (25' REQUIRED, 14.8' EXISTING); SECTION 5.224 (NO OFF-STREET PARKING SHALL BE LOCATED WITHIN ANY OTHER REQUIRED YARD AS REGULATED BY § 6.1); SECTION 6.41, PARAGRAPH C: (ONE OUTDOOR LOADING BERTH REQUIRED, MODIFIED TO ONE PROPOSED): SECTION 3.11, CC DISTRICT, COLUMN 6 #9 (PARKING SPACES: 61 SPACES REQUIRED. 59 SPACES PROVIDED); AND FROM SECTION 13.10 ROUTE 303 OVERLAY NON-RESIDENTIAL AREA: B(1): (SHALL BE ADEQUATELY SCREENED TO MINIMIZE VISUAL IMPACT TO PASSERSBY ON ROUTE 303) B(2) TWENTY-FIVE FOOT VEGETATIVE BUFFER). (ZBA #15-66)

	UNDERGRO	Call Before You Dig Wait The Required Time Confirm Utility Response Respect The Marks Dig With Care 1-800-962-7962
		IT'S THE LAW I
4	08-16-22	PER DIRECTORS COMMENTS 08/09/22
3	07-29-22	ROUTE 303 OVERLAY REQ.
2	04-13-22	PER PLANNING BOARD 12/08/21
1.	10-28-21	PER BROOKER ENGINEERING 09/29/21
REVISION	DATE	DESCRIPTION
PROJECT:	&Z	ENGINEERS-SURVEYORS-PLANNERS 232 North Main Street New City, New York 10956 Tel: (845) 634-4694 Fax: (845) 634-5543 E-mail: info@anzny.com Web: www.ANZNY.com
	S C	
RO	CKLAN	D COUNTY, NEW YORK

CITE	
SILE	PLAN
DRAWN BY: IS	CHECKED BY: JRA
DATE: AUGUST 05, 2021	SCALE: 1 IN. = 20 FT
PROJECT NO:	DRAWING NO:
2050	4
2000	L L

THE EDUCATION LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ACCORDANCE WITH THE EXISTING CODE OF PROHIBITS ANY PERSON ALTERING ANYTHING ON PRACTICE FOR LAND SURVEYOR'S ADOPTED BY THESE DRAWINGS AND/OR THE ACCOMPANYING SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS IT IS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER. ONLY TO THOSE NAMED INDIVIDUALS AND/OR WHERE SUCH ALTERATIONS ARE MADE, THE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER MUST SIGN, SEAL, DATE AND DESCRIBE THE FULL EXTENT OF THE AND DESCRIBE THE FULL EXTENT OF THE ALTERATION ON THE DRAWINGS AND/OR IN THE INSTITUTIONS, THEIR SUCCESSORS AND/OR SPECIFICTIONS. (NYS EDUCATION LAW SECTION 7209-2)

RYAN A NASHER, P.E N.Y.S. P.E. LIC. NO. 89066

EXISTING BUI

ASSIGNS OR SUBSEQUENT OWNERS."

N.Y.S. R.L.S. LIC NO. 5022

"UNAUTHORIZED ALTERATIONS OR ADDITIONS TO

SURVEYOR'S EMBOSSED SEAL IS A VIOLATION OF

ONLY COPIES FROM THE ORIGINAL TRACING OF

SECTION 7209, SUBDIVISION 2, OF THE NEW

A SURVEY MAP BEARING A LICENSED LAND

THIS SURVEY MAP MARKED WITH THE LAND SURVEYOR'S EMBOSSED SEAL SHALL BE

CERTIFICATION INDICATED HERE ON SIGNIFY

CONSIDERED TO BE VALID TRUE COPIES."

AT THIS SURVEY WAS PREPARED IN

YORK STATE EDUCATION LAW."

\_\_\_\_

NYS .

LO

TL 74,15-1-2-NYSDEC

CONTAMINATION AREA

GREENBUSH ROAD

NEW YORK STATE ROUTE 303

### PARKING REQUIREMENTS:

REQUIRED: 1 SPACE/200 SO.FT. OF GROSS FLOOR AREA TOTAL PARKING REQUIRED: TOTAL FLOOR AREA/200 SQ.FT. =10,165 SQ.FT./200 SQ.FT. =51 SPACES

R-40

PROVIDED: 53 SPACES MAX. PARKING PERMITTED IN FRONT YARD: 35% PARKING PROVIDED IN FRONT YARD: 22 SPACES PROPORTION OF PARKING IN FRONT YARD =  $\frac{22}{53}$  X 100% = 42% \*



www.digsafelynewyork.com Dig Safely and Dig Safely. New York are used under license from Dig Safe System, Inc.

LIO





#### GENERAL & UTILITY NOTES:

- 1. AT LEAST ONE WEEK PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK, INCLUDING THE INSTALLATION OF EROSION CONTROL DEVICES OR THE REMOVAL OF TREES AND VEGETATION, A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING MUST BE HELD WITH THE TOWN OF ORANGETOWN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND ENGINEERING, SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS AND THE OFFICE OF BUILDING, ZONING AND PLANNING ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY AND OBLIGATION OF THE PROPERTY OWNER TO ARRANGE SUCH A MEETING.
- 2. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PHASE II REGULATIONS: ADDITIONAL CERTIFICATION BY AN APPROPRIATE LICENSED OR CERTIFIED DESIGN PROFESSIONAL SHALL BE REQUIRED FOR ALL MATTERS BEFORE THE PLANNING BOARD INDICATING THAT THE DRAWING AND PROJECT ARE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PHASE II REGULATIONS.
- 3. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION, SIZE AND INVERTS OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. 4. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT ALL UTILITY COMPANIES AND HAVE ALL
- UTILITIES FIELD LOCATED BY RESPECTIVE UTILITY COMPANY AND SHALL ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING CONTINUOUS UTILITY SERVICE AND REPAIRS TO ANY DAMAGE.
- ALL EXISTING OFF-SITE PAVEMENT, FENCES, CURBS, WALKS AND OTHER FACILITIES DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RESTORED OR REPLACED BY THE CONTRACTOR AT NO ADDITIONAL COST.
- 6. EXISTING QUINTILES THAT ARE TO BE REMOVED AND/OR REPLACED SHALL BE REMOVED AND LEGALLY DISPOSED OF BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- 7. PROJECT SAFETY AND TRAFFIC MAINTENANCE ARE THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 8. UTILITIES AND UTILITY STRUCTURES WHOSE LOCATIONS ARE UNKNOWN MAY BE AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED WORK. UPON FINDING SUCH UTILITIES, THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY SHALL BE TO NOTIFY THE OWNER AND MAINTAIN THE UTILITIES IN WORKING ORDER UNTIL THEIR DISPOSITION IS RESOLVED.
- CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH ALL COMPANIES TO ASSURE ADEQUATE SUPPLY AND SCHEDULING OF NEW SERVICE, WHERE REQUIRED, TO FIT THE CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING AND SEQUENCE TO ASSURE NO DAMAGE OR DISTURBANCE TO COMPLETED WORK.
- 10. ALL UTILITIES, INCLUDING ELECTRIC AND TELEPHONE SERVICE, SHALL BE INSTALLED UNDERGROUND.
- 11. THE EXTENT OF THE CONSTRUCTION AND DISTURBANCE AREAS SHALL BE THE MINIMUM REQUIRED TO PERFORM THE CONTRACT WORK, WITH AS MINIMAL EFFECT ON ADJACENT AREAS AS POSSIBLE.
- 12. ALL HOUSE CONNECTION TO BE 6" DIA. DUCTILE IRON PIPE. 13. ALL WATER LINES TO BE CLASS 54 DUCTILE IRON PIPE.
- 14. GAS, ELECTRIC AND TELEPHONE UTILITIES ARE SHOWN IN CONCEPTUAL FASHION. FINAL LOCATIONS, SIZES AND MATERIAL SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH RESPECTIVE UTILITY COMPANIES.
- 15. ALL STORM DRAINAGE TO BE HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE PIPE(HDPE), UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
- ALL FIRE CONNECTIONS TO BE APPROVED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. SIGNAGE IDENTIFYING CONNECTION SHALL BE PROVIDED. CONNECTIONS SHALL NOT BE OBSTRUCTED BY PLANTINGS.
- 17. SIDE WALKS AND CURB SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE THE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT'S SPECIFICATIONS FOR SIDEWALKS AND CURBS.
- 18. THIS PLAN DOES NOT CONFLICT WITH THE COUNTY OFFICIAL MAP AND HAS BEEN APPROVED IN THE MANNER SPECIFIED BY SECTION 239L&M OF THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK.
- 19. SHEETING SHALL BE USED WHEN CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES ARE PERFORMED IN THE VICINITY OF PROPERTY LINES.
- 20. DATUM OF EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY IS NAVD88.
- 21. FLOODPLAIN AND FLOODWAY DELINEATIONS FROM THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA), DATES 3/3/2014.
- 22. ALL EXISTING STRUCTURE TO BE DEMOLISHED.

"UNAUTHORIZED ALTERATIONS OR ADDITIONS TO A SURVEY MAP BEARING A LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR'S EMBOSSED SEAL IS A VICLATION OF SECTION 7209, SUBDIVISION 2, OF THE NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION LAW." "ONLY COPIES FROM THE ORIGINAL TRACING OF THIS SURVEY MAP MARKED WITH THE LAND SURVEYOR'S EMBOSSED SEAL SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE VALID TRUE COPIES." ERTIFICATION INDICATED HERE ON SIGNIFY HAT THIS SURVEY WAS PREPARED IN PRACTICE FOR LAND SURVEYOR'S ADOPTED BY THE DELAWARE - HUDSON LAND SURVEYORS ASSOCIATION. SAID CERTIFICATIONS SHALL RUN ONEY TO THOSE NAMED INDIVIDUALS AND/OR INSTITUTIONS FOR WHOM THE SURVEY WAS

TRANSFERABLE TO ADDITIONAL INDIVIDUALS,

19HN R ATZL N.Y.S. R.L.S. 40 NO. 50228

INSTITUTIONS, THEIR SUCCESSORS AND/OR

08-16-22 PER DIRECTORS COMMENTS 08/09/22 4 ROUTE 303 OVERLAY REQ. 07-29-2 .3 04-13-22 PER PLANNING BOARD 12/08/21 2. PER BROOKER ENGINEERING 09/29/21 1 10-28-21 DATE REVISION DESCRIPTION ATZL, NASHER & ZIGLER P.C



PROJECT:

ENGINEERS-SURVEYORS-PLANNERS 232 North Main Street New City, New York 10956 Tel: (845) 634-4694 Fax: (845) 634-5543 E-mail: info@anzny.com Web: www.ANZNY.com

# S CORNER PLAZA

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN ROCKLAND COUNTY, NEW YORK

GRADIN	IG PLAN
RAWN BY: IS	CHECKED BY: JRA
ATE: AUGUST 05, 2021	SCALE: 1 IN. = 40 FT.
ROJECT NO:	DRAWING NO:
2858	3







# LEGEND

----- EXISTING 2' CONTOUR -- -- -- 360- -- EXISTING 10' CONTOUR ----- EXISTING WATERLINE EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT EXISTING GAS LINE EXISTING CATCH BASIN = = = = = = EXISTING STORM DRAIN LIN EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE - S - S - EXISTING SEWER LINE EXISTING SPOT ELEVATION COCOCOCOCOCO EXISTING STONEWALL EXISTING SIGN EXISTING LIGHT POLE EXISTING UTILITY POLE • • • • • • • EXISTING LIMIT OF WETLAND

7209-2)

RYAN A. NASHER, P.E

N.Y.S. P.E. LIC-NO. 89066

СВ

(S) SMH

+ 360.0

0

¢LΡ

OL UP

	(362)
	(360)
	RD RD
	- <b>oo</b>
E	
	NS
	LT
	EP
	• • • • • •
	WS
	LOD
DS	. LEUCONFIRMENTS RUMANEURUSUUM SITUATION
	entrechementrone de un renancieron de su manacieron
	P-WS-

- PROPOSED 2' CONTOUR - PROPOSED 10' CONTOUR PROPOSED ROOFDRAIN PROPOSED RETAINING WALL ----- PROPOSED GUIDE RAIL - PROPOSED FENCE PROPOSED RESERVED PARKING PROPOSED NO STANDING PROPOSED NO LEFT TURN PROPOSED EMPLOYEE PARKING LOCATION OF TEST HOLE PROPOSED WATERSHED LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE PROPOSED FIRE LANE FLOODWAY 100 YEAR FLOOD PLAIN (PROPOSED CONDITION) - PROPOSED WATER SERVICE P-GS-PROPOSED GAS SERVICE

- - UGE- - UGE- PROPOSED UNDERGROUND SERVICE

"UNAUTHORIZED ALTERATIONS OR ADDITIONS TO A SURVEY MAP BEARING A LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR'S EMBOSSED SEAL IS A VIOLATION OF SECTION 7209, SUBDIVISION 2, OF THE NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION LAW." ONLY COPIES FROM THE ORIGINAL TRACING OF THIS SURVEY MAP MARKED WITH THE LAND SURVEYOR'S EMBOSSED SEAL SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE VALID TRUE COPIES." "CERTIFICATION INDICATED HERE ON SIGNIFY THAT THIS SURVEY WAS PREPARED IN THE EDUCATION LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ACCORDANCE WITH THE EXISTING CODE OF PROHIBITS ANY PERSON ALTERING ANYTHING ON PRACTICE FOR LAND SURVEYOR'S ADOPTED BY PROFIBEITS ANY PERSON ALLERING ANY THING ON THESE DRAWINGS AND/OR THE ACCOMPANYING SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS IT IS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER. ONLY TO THOSE NAMED INDIVIDUALS AND/OR WHERE SUCH ALTERATIONS ARE MADE, THE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER MUST SIGN, SEAL, DATE AND DESCRIBE THE FULL EXTENT OF THE ALTERATION ON THE DRAWINGS AND/OR IN THE INSTITUTIONS, THEIR SUCCESSORS AND/OR



TITLE:

4	08-16-22	PER DIRECTORS COMMENTS 08/09/22				
3	07-29-22	ROUTE 303 OVERLAY REQ.				
2	04-13-22	PER PLANNING BOARD 12/08/21				
1	10-28-21	PER BROOKER ENGINEERING 09/29/21				
REVISION	DATE	DESCRIPTION				
AN	&Z	ATZL, NASHER & ZIGLER P.C. ENGINEERS-SURVEYORS-PLANNERS 232 North Main Street New City, New York 10956 Tel: (845) 634-4694 Fax: (845) 634-5543 E-mail: info@anzny.com Web: www.ANZNY.com				
PROJECT:						
S CORNER PLAZA						

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN ROCKLAND COUNTY, NEW YORK

 ·	
SPARKILL CREEK PLAN & PROFILE	

RAWN BY: IS	CHECKED BY: JRA
ATE: AUGUST 05, 2021	SCALE: AS SHOWN
ROJECT NO:	DRAWING NO:
2858	4





DOUBLE YELLOW LINE		P-1		<u>SECTION</u> EXIS 1-1 2-2 3-3 4-4	TING CONDITION PROPOSE 75.3 72.6 72.2 72.2	D CONDITION 75.3 72.8 72.5 72.2	<u>DIFFERENCE</u> 0.0 0.2 0.3 0.0
×71.1 WHITE LINE →71.1 → 71.1 → 71.1		o.		5-5 6-6	71.8 70.3	71.8 70.3	0.0 0.0
o		R tot					
FLOODWAY DSL	303 8501 1021	The part for					• •
64 CCOROL JE & AND GARS HIT	Solution and a solution of the						
	1001E 340 Store 45 0 64 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	FLOODWAY				•	
	Bio softwo	N/F 73. 103 ROUTE 303 INC.					
×696 ×696 ×698 23 × 70.0 × 70.0 × 70.0	Wins 20 mo						
		SPARKI	LEGENI	2			
×69.7 ×69.7 ×69.7	THE ROUTE 303		- EXISTING 2' CONTOUR -	(362)	PROPOSED 2' CONTOUR		
	*T T		- EXISTING 10' CONTOUR -		PROPOSED 10' CONTOUR		1. S.
and a start of start of the sta	FLÖDÖNNI		- EXISTING WATERLINE	RD RD	PROPOSED ROOFDRAIN		
5 WIDE CONC WALK DE X60 BOOK	S MAY	Ţ,	EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT		PROPOSED RETAINING WALL		
	3 A A	×72.6G	- EXISTING GAS LINE -	·:·····	PROPOSED GUIDE RAIL		
ROOF OVERHAM	not	СВ	EXISTING CATCH BASIN	-000	PROPOSED FENCE		
			EXISTING STORM DRAIN LINE	RP RP	PROPOSED RESERVED PARKING		
		(S) SMH	EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE	NS	PROPOSED NO STANDING		
Service and the service of the servi	N/F	— s — _ s — _	- EXISTING SEWER LINE	LT	PROPOSED NO LEFT TURN		
	RRIS CORP	+ 360.0	EXISTING SPOT ELEVATION		PROPOSED EMPLOYEE PARKING		
1 xn5 11 31 18 18 19/ 11			EXISTING STONEWALL		LOCATION OF TEST HOLE		
a start filles the start of the	34	-0	EXISTING SIGN	ws	PROPOSED WATERSHED		
Per Song N. 7		ф. ГР	EXISTING LIGHT POLE	LOD	LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE		
sound an of stand the sound of the		O UP کې	EXISTING UTILITY POLE		PROPOSED FIRE LANE		
23 Solo 1/2 Var stor	122	• • • • • •	• EXISTING LIMIT OF WETLANDS	anatosocianesco facilitades a anatosianese. No sa Utilitativitationa	FLOODWAY 100 YEAR FLOOD PLAIN		-

2+25

# CROSS SECTION 2-2 PROFILE VIEW SCALE 1"=10'

WHITE LINE

1+50

1+25

MILE MARKER 

MUSEUM SIGN

0+75

0 + 50

α αποφέλα τους τους τους δ. Ματος το τήθες Υ. (Ολημότη ζείχες διαφ. από τη το συμπορία στο τη ποροσται της πορίτα				·			Nananananan karananan karanan k	ar
				63.0 FLOODWAY22.3'			50.0' N.Y.S. ROUTE 303	
n manananan mate a mate a ri ar ar an	2 	авааны жалар 1,000 разроналын у арт станиттан станитта анттана такатан такатан так солоо 2000 <b>1000 1000 1000 100</b> 0			PROPOSE	D 100YR FLOODPLAIN EL=72.8	n gangana saja saran sa saranaanna sarananna sa maa na sarana a sarana mara marana araanaan a asa a baar da da	-
ROPOSED GRA	DE			,		EXISTING 100YR FLOODPL	AIN EL=72.6	EXISTING GR
				SPARKILL CREEK	· · ·			
			naarondhe amaaron ammar nar aakan fare Gorando wabartii Ciera, obbe Ohaar Baye Bahdii Sab 🦘		-to a language to GETS Chart involvements and to share were a check to be a sum invention and reaction of cheve -to a language to GETS Chart involvements and the share were a check to be a sum inventor of the reaction of the		1,23 e Al An Angel Angel ann an ann an ann ann ann ann ann ann	
								na fala da fala da se

2+00

#### CROSS SECTION 1-1 PROFILE VIEW SCALE 1"=10'

		. · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · ·		-
		-				·  +
	an <b>V</b> ar A come are			PROPOSED	100YR FLOODPLAIN EL=75.3	
			25.0' SPARKILL CREEK- BOTTOM	EXIS	TING 100YR FLOODPLAIN EL=75.3	
			60" CMP 60" CMP 60" ( I=66.3 I=66.0 I=6	CMP 60" CMP 6.4 I=65.6		
······································	<u>\$.</u>		£,	· · ·	······································	

1+75



2+50

100	YEA	R FLOOL	D PLAIN	(NAVD88)	
	<b></b>		0.011017/011	· ppepeé	~ ~

(PROPOSED CONDITION) "UNAUTHORIZED ALTERATIONS OR ADDITIONS 10 A SURVEY MAP BEARING A LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR'S EMBOSSED SEAL IS A VIOLATION OF SECTION 7209, SUBDIVISION 2, OF THE NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION LAW." "ONLY COPIES FROM THE ORIGINAL TRACING OF THIS SURVEY MAP MARKED WITH THE LAND SURVEYOR'S EMBOSSED SEAL SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE VALID TRUE COPIES."

JOHN REATZL

N.Y.S. R.L.S. 410 NO. 50228

4 08-16-22 PER DIRECTORS COMMENTS 08/09/22 ROUTE 303 OVERLAY REQ. 07-29-22 - 3 2 04-13-22 PER PLANNING BOARD 12/08/21 1 10-28-21 PER BROOKER ENGINEERING 09/29/21 REVISION DATE DESCRIPTION



PROJECT:

ATZL, NASHER & ZIGLER P.C ENGINEERS-SURVEYORS-PLANNERS 232 North Main Street New City, New York 10956 Tel: (845) 634-4694 Fax: (845) 634-5543 E-mail: info@anzny.com Web: www.ANZNY.com

# S CORNER PLAZA

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN ROCKLAND COUNTY, NEW YORK

LE:	
	CROSS SECTIONS PROFILE
	VIEW & PLAN

DRAWN BY: IS	CHECKED BY: JRA
DATE: AUGUST 05, 2021	SCALE: 1 IN. = 30 FT.
PROJECT NO:	DRAWING NO:
2858	5

# CROSS SECTION 6-6 PROFILE VIEW (CONTINUED) SCALE 1"=10'

0+00		0+25	0+50	0+7	5	1+00
						CROSS
1.0 Min 100 Min 2010 Min 2010 Min 2010 Min 2010 			54.0 <sup>°</sup> ROADWAY			
-						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2	2+75 <b>H</b> H2LEW	3+00	3+	25 CRO	3+50 SS SECTION 6	-6 PROFILE VIEV

# OSS S

0.0					
80				87.8'	
75	EXISTING GRADE		SUILDING = 73.3		
70					
65					
60 55					











0+50

0+75

1+00

EXISTING GRADE

0+25 0+50

0+75

FFE OF PROP. BUILDING = 73.3

1+00

EXISTING GRADE

FFE OF PROP. BUILDING = 73.3 

80 -----

75

70

65

60

55

80

70 -

65

60

55

0+00

	96.7'	30.0'		40.0'	30.3	80	
	CONC. WALK, PARLING, & CONC. CURB			OPOSED 100YR FLOODPLAIN EL=72.5		- 75	
PROPOSED GRADE				EXISTING 100YR FLOODPLAIN E	L=72.2	70	
		SPARKI	19.0'			65	
						60	
1+25 1+50	1+75	2+00	2+25	2+50 2+	-75 2-	」 <sub>55</sub> +95	
CROSS SECTION 3-3 PR	OFILE VIEW						ω
SCALE I = 10		-		P	ROP		년 
		111.0' CONC. WALK. PARKING & C		KE TAI		24_1 FLOODWAY	×.
		PROPOSED GRADE			PROPOS	ED 100YR FLOODPLAIN EL=72	.2
					EXISTING 100	DYR ELDODPLAIN EL = 72.2	
					<u>SPARKILL_CR</u>		
		+00 2	+25 2	+50 2+7	′5 	3+00	3+25 <b>H</b>
CRUSS SECTION 4-4 SCALE 1"=1	O'						MAT
							ATCH
		359.0' FLOOD LINE					131.0'
	PROPOSED 100YR FLOOD	PLAIN EL=71.8					FLOODWAY
	EXISTING 100YR FLOO	DPLAIN EL≃71.8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
							<u> </u>
0+75 1+00	1+25	1+50	1+75	2+00 2+	25	2+50	2+75
	CROSS S	ECTION 5-5 PROFILE SCALE 1"=10'	VIEW				>
		0		80			
				75			
10			·	70			
	EXISTING GRADE						
				60			
+25 3+50	3+75 4+00	4+25	4+50 4+6	55 ·			
CROSS SECTION 5-5 PROP	FILE VIEW						
SCALE 1"=10'							
			75.0' FLOODWAY				
				L=70.3			
			EXISTING 100YR FLOODPL	AIN EL=70.3		+         υδ-16-22         PER DIREC           3         07-29-22         ROUT           2         04-13-22         PER PIA	TURS CUMMENTS 08/09/22 TE 303 OVERLAY REQ. ANNING BOARD 12/08/21
		SPARK	LL CREEK		RE	1 10-28-21 PER BR	OOKER ENGINEERING 09/29/21 DESCRIPTION
						ATZL, N	ASHER & ZIGLER P.C.
11.25	1±75		25	50 0.75		IN &Z	22 North Main Street
	21	Z+	2†	2+73	ATCH LI	Te Fa	el: $(845)$ 634-4694 ex: $(845)$ 634-5543
SCALE 1"=10'				"UNAUTHORIZED A SURVEY MAP	ALTERATIONS OR ADDITIONS TO BEARING A LICENSED LAND	E- We	-mail: info@anzny.com eb: www.ANZNY.com
	8U			SURVEYOR'S EN SECTION 7209, YORK STATE ED "ONLY COPIES	BOSSED SEAL IS A VIOLATION OF SUBDIVISION 2, OF THE NEW JUCATION LAW." FROM THE ORIGINAL TRACING OF		
	75			THIS SURVEY M SURVEYOR'S FM CONSIDERED TO "CERTIFICATION	AF MARKED WITH THE LAND BOSSED SEAL SHALL BE BE VALID TRUE COPIES." INDICATED HERE ON SIGNIFY VEY WAS DEPENDENT	JUNIE	
EXISTING GRADE	70		THE EDUCA PROHIBITS THESE DRA SPECIFICATI	TION LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ACCORDANCE W ANY PERSON ALTERING ANYTHING ON PRACTICE FOR MINGS AND/OR THE ACCOMPANYING THE DELAWARE ONS, UNLESS IT IS UNDER THE ASSOCIATION S	ATH THE EXISTING CODE OF LAND SURVEYOR'S ADOPTED BY - HUDSON LAND SURVEYORS GAID CERTIFICATIONS SHALL RUN	TOWN OF OF	RANGETOWN
	65		DIRECTION WHERE SUC PROFESSION AND DESCR	DE A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER. ONLY TO THOSE H ALTERATIONS ARE MADE, THE INSTITUTIONS F TAL ENGINEER MUST SIGN, SEAL, DATE PREPARED. CEF IDE THE FULL EXTENT OF THE TRANSFERABLE	E NAMED INDIVIDUALS AND/OR OR WHOM THE SURVEY WAS TIFICATIONS ARE NOT TO ADDITIONAL INDIVIDUALS,	ROCKLAND COUN	ITY, NEW YORK
	60		ALTERATION SPECIFICTIO 7209-2)	ON THE DRAWINGS AND/OR IN THE INSTITUTIONS, I NS. (NYS EDUCATION LAW SECTION ASSIGNS OR SU AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	HEIR SUCCESSORS AND/OR IBSEQUENT OWNERS."		
	55			A NACHAR CONTRACTOR	CHANR. A SHOP	CRUSS SECTIONS	PROFILE VIEW
4+00 4+25	4+50					AWN BY: IS TE: AUGUST 05, 2021	CHECKED BY: JRA SCALE: 1 IN. = 30 FT.
<u>IEW</u>				ANA NASHER DE		OJECT NO:	DRAWING NO:
		DAIL		. P.E.LICSNO. 89066 N.Y.S. P.	S. 40. 50228	2020	σ



AWINGS\2858\2858 SITE PLAN NEW

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_0.jpeg)

WHITE LINE DOUBLE YELLOW LINE WHITE LINE TAPER CURB 303 8501 MUSEUM SIGN TL 74.11-2-48 NE 0.0 GEORGE. 0.0 \ 0.0 0.0 MALE MARKER ROUTE 0.0 FLOODWAY 00<sup>†</sup>00 340 SIGN  $0.0 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.0$ 0.0 + 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1 <sup>†</sup>0.2 <sup>†</sup>0.2 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0 2.2 1.2 0.7 0.7 0.9 **0**.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 2.9 1.8 1.4 1.7 4.5 2.5 1.5 2.0 3.4 5.3 5 5.6 1.5 \_\_\_\_2.2 2.9 3 34 3.0 2.3 1.7 1.3-1-1.5 1.2 1.1 1.4 1.21.9 1.6 1.3 1.4 1.6 1.8 3.0 2.9 \_\_\_\_2.6 1.114) 1.2 1.6 2.1 2.2 1.9 1.5 1.2 0.9 0.8 0.9 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.1 10.8 15 5 PROP. CONC. CURB 48 32 8 1.0 t 1.92) 0.8 1.9 PROP. 5 WIDE CONC. WALK DU RPATT 5.5 PROPOSED BUILDING AREA=10,165 SQ.FT. FFL=73.5 DRY FLOODPROOFED EL.=76.35 340 Q... E Rou 1/, ₹/H

Calculation Summary										
Label	CalcType	Units	Avg	Max	Min	Avg/Min	Max/Min	Description	PtSpcLr	ſ
Property Line	Illuminance	Fc	0.02	0.1	0.0	N.A.	N.A.		10	Γ.
Site	Illuminance	Fc	1.17	7.2	0.0	N.A.	N.A.	-	10	Γ
Parking	Illuminance	Fc	2.18	7.2	0.3	7.27	24.00			Γ

Luminaire Sche	edule						
Symbol	Qty	Label	Tag	Arrangement	Total Lamp Lumens	LLF	Description
-	5	ALED3T50	A	SINGLE	N.A.	1.000	Pole Mounted (Type III)
	2	WPLED3T50FX	B	SINGLE	N.A.	1.000	Wall Mounted (Type III)

LumNo	Tag	X	Y	MTG HT	Orient	Tilt	
1	Α	391.268	315.63	15	255.499	0	
2	Α	315.306	324.054	15	265.986	0	
3	Á	237.1	330.166	15	267.809	0	
4	A	161.081	325.635	15	271.405	0	
5	A	170.33	268.594	15	93.348	0	
6	В	255.118	263.004	15	85.675	0	
7	В	349.815	255.882	15	88.937	0	

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

LED color temperature is warrantied to shift no more than 200K in CCT over a 5-year period

Color Stability:

Housing: Die-cast aluminum housing, lens frame and

even in high ambient temperature environments

mounting arm

#### Color Stability:

LED color temperature is warrantied to shift no more than 200K in CCT over a 5-year period

environments

EPA = 0.75

RAB's range of CCT (Correlated Color Temperature) follows the guidelines of the American National Standard for Specifications for the Chromaticity of Solid State Lighting (SSL) Products, ANSI C78.377-2017.

general parking and other area lighting applications where a larger pool of lighting is required. It is intended to be located near the side of the area, allowing the light to project outward

Ingress Protection rating of IP66 for dust and

Minimum starting temperature is -40°C (-40°F)

Superior thermal management design with external Air-Flow fins provides maximum operational life, even in high ambient temperature

**Effective Projected Area:** 

**Prepared By** 

Driver Info Туре Constant Current 120V 0.46A 208V

240V

277V

0.27A 0.23A 0.20A Input Watts 55.17W Efficiency 91%

Date:

LED Info Watts 50.00W Color Temp 5000K (Cool) Color Accuracy 71 CRI L70 Lifespan 100,000 6,855 Lumens Efficacy 124.3 LPW

#### Housing:

Die-cast aluminum housing, lens frame and mounting arm

#### Mounting:

Universal mounting arm compatible for hole spacing patterns from 1" to 5 1/2" center to center. Round Pole Adaptor plate included as a standard. Easy slide and lock to mount fixture with ease. Round pole diameter must be >4" to mount fixtures at 90° orientation.

### Reflector:

Specular vacuum-metallized polycarbonate Gaskets:

#### High-temperature silicone gaskets

Finish:

Formulated for high durability and long-lasting color

Green Technology:

Mercury and UV free. RoHS-compliant components.

![](_page_57_Picture_54.jpeg)

Listings CSA Listed: Suitable for wet locations Construction Shaft: 46,000 p.s.i. minimum yield. Hand Holes: Reinforced with grounding lug and removable cover **Base Plates:** Slotted base plates 36,000 p.s.i. Shipping Protection: All poles are shipped in individual corrugated cartons to prevent finish damage Color: Bronze powder coating

Height: 15 FT

0'-8 3/8" 🗲 ......

![](_page_57_Picture_65.jpeg)

0'-9'

# **RAB** Outdoor PS4-11-15D2 Project: Type: Prepared By Square steel poles drilled for 2 Area Lights at 180°. Designed for ground mounting. Poles are stocked nationwide for quick shipment. Protective packaging ensures poles

arrive at the job site good as new. Color: Bronze

Weight: 105.8 lbs

# **Technical Specifications**

Weight: 106 lbs Gauge: 11 Wall Thickness: 1/8" Shaft Size: Hand Hole Dimensions: 3" x 5" **Bolt Circle:** 8 1/2" Base Dimension 8"

#### Anchor Bolt:

Galvanized anchor bolts and galvanized hardware and anchor bolt template. All bolts have a 3" hook. Anchor Bolt Templates:

WARNING Template must be printed on 11" x 17" sheet for actual size. CHECK SCALE BEFORE USING. Templates shipped with anchor bolts and available <u>online</u>.

**Pre-Shipped Anchor Bolts:** Bolts can be pre-shipped upon request for

additional freight charge Max EPA's/Max Weights

70MPH 14:0 ft./400 lb. 80MPH 10.2 ft./295 lb. 90MPH 7.6 ft./220 lb. 100MPH 5.6 ft./165 lb. 110MPH 4.2 ft./125 lb. 120MPH 3.0 ft./95 lb. 130MPH 2.1 ft./70 lb. 140MPH 1.4 ft./50 lb. 150MPH 0.8 ft./35 lb..

Other

Terms of Sale: Pole Terms of Sale is available online.

![](_page_57_Picture_79.jpeg)

#### VERSALUX VRS SERIES-PLED (OR APPROVED EQUAL)

THE EDUCATION LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ACCORDANCE WITH THE EXISTING CODE OF PROHIBITS ANY PERSON ALTERING ANYTHING ON PRACTICE FOR LAND SURVEYOR'S ADOPTED THESE DRAWINGS AND/OR THE ACCOMPANYING AND THE DELAWARE – HUDSON LAND SURVEYORS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS IT IS UNDER THE ASSOCIATION. SAID CERTIFICATIONS SHALL RUN DIRECTION OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER. ONLY TO THOSE NAMED INDIVIDUALS AND/OR WHERE SUCH ALTERATIONS ARE MADE, THE INSTITUTIONS FOR WHOM THE SURVEY WAS PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER MUST SIGN, SEAL, DATE AND DESCRIBE THE FULL EXTENT OF THE TRANSFERABLE TO ADDITIONAL INDIVIDUALS, ALTERATION ON THE DRAWINGS AND/OR IN THE INSTITUTIONS, THEIR SUCCESSORS AND/OR SPECIFICTIONS. (NYS EDUCATION LAW SECTION ASSIGNS OR SUBSEQUENT OWNERS."

![](_page_57_Picture_82.jpeg)

ONLY COPIES FROM THE ORIGINAL TRACING OF THIS SURVEY MAP MARKED WITH THE LAND SURVEYOR'S EMBOSSED SEAL SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE VALID TRUE COPIES. CERTIFICATION INDICATED HERE ON SIGNIFY THIS SURVEY WAS PREPARED IN PRACTICE FOR LAND SURVEYOR'S ADOPTED BY ASSOCIATION. SAID CERTIFICATIONS SHALL RUN

N.Y.S. R.L.S. LIO, NO. 50228

· .		
4	08-16-22	PER DIRECTORS COMMENTS 08/09/22
3	07-29-22	ROUTE 303 OVERLAY REQ.
2	04-13-22	PER PLANNING BOARD 12/08/21
1	10-28-21	PER BROOKER ENGINEERING 09/29/21
REVISION	DATE	DESCRIPTION
		ATZL, NASHER & ZIGLER P.C.
		ENGINEERS-SURVEYORS-PLANNERS
$ \Delta $	$ \mathcal{X}_{\tau}' $	232 North Main Street
1 - 7 T /		New City, New York 10956
		Tel: (845) 634-4694
		Fax: (845) 634-5543
		E-mail: info@anzny.com
		Web: www.ANZNY.com
PROJECT:		
	50	
		······································
* .		
		T20 Ludlow Avenue, Northvale, NJ 07647
		828 722-1000 🔹 ratikiphting com
	TOWN	OF ORANGETOWN
		COUNTY NEW YORK
TITLE:		
	LIG	HTING DETAILS

2858	11	
PROJECT NO:	DRAWING NO:	
DATE: AUGUST 05, 2021	SCALE: 1 IN. = 30 FT.	
DRAWN BY: VC	CHECKED BY: JRA	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)